arXiv:math/0512113v1 [math.NT] 6 Dec 2005

On Spin L-Functions for GSO_{10}

David Ginzburg
School of Mathematical Sciences
Sackler Faculty of Exact Sciences
Tel-Aviv University, Israel 69978
and
Joseph Hundley
Penn State University Mathematics Department
University Park, State College PA, 16802, USA

Abstract

In this paper we construct a Rankin-Selberg integral which represents the $Spin_{10} \times St$ L-function attached to the group $GSO_{10} \times PGL_2$. We use this integral representation to give some equivalent conditions for a generic cuspidal representation on GSO_{10} to be a functorial lift from the group $G_2 \times PGL_2$.

1 Introduction

In this paper we construct a new Rankin-Selberg integral which represents an L function corresponding to the group $GSO_{10} \times PGL_2$. More precisely, let π denote a generic cuspidal representation defined on the group $GSO_{10}(\mathbf{A})$, and let τ denote a cuspidal representation defined on $PGL_2(\mathbf{A})$. For simplicity, we shall assume that π has a trivial central character. The L group of $GSO_{10}(\mathbf{A}) \times PGL_2(\mathbf{A})$ is the group $GSpin_{10}(\mathbf{C}) \times SL_2(\mathbf{C})$. Consider the 32 dimensional irreducible representation of this group given by $Spin_{10} \times St$. Here $Spin_{10}$ is the 16 dimensional irreducible Spin representation of $GSpin_{10}(\mathbf{C})$ and St denotes the standard representation of the group $SL_2(\mathbf{C})$. To this irreducible representation, one can attach the 32 degree partial L function denoted by $L^S(Spin_{10} \times St, \pi \times \tau, s)$.

To study this L function we construct a global integral which is given by

$$\int\limits_{Z(\mathbf{A})GSO_{10}(F)\backslash GSO_{10}(\mathbf{A})} \varphi_\pi(g)\theta_\tau(g)E(g,s)dg. \qquad (1)$$

Here φ_π is a vector in the space of the representation π, Z is the center of GSO_{10} and $E(g,s)$ is an Eisenstein series which described in the beginning of section 2. The interesting representation in integral (1) is the representation θ_τ. This representation is constructed as a residue of an Eisenstein series defined on the group $GSO_{10}(\mathbf{A})$ as described fully in section 3. The main two properties of this representation are first, its dependence on the cuspidal representation τ, and second, its smallness. In fact, as we prove in section 3, this representation is attached to the unipotent orbit (3^31).

After showing that this integral is Eulerian, and that we obtain the above L function, we then study the poles of this L function. We show that it can have at most a simple pole at $s = 1$. It is well known, see [K], that the stabilizer of a generic point in the space of the representation $Spin_{10}(\mathbf{C}) \times SL_2(\mathbf{C})$, is the group $G_2(\mathbf{C}) \times SL_2(\mathbf{C})$. Hence one expects that the above L function will have a simple pole at $s = 1$ if and only if the cuspidal representation π is the functorial lift from $G_2 \times PGL_2$. In fact this is exactly what we prove. Indeed, the main result of this paper is given by the following theorem, which is stated and proved in section 6. (See section 6 for precise notations).

Main Theorem: *Let π be an irreducible generic cuspidal representation of the group $GSO_{10}(\mathbf{A})$ which has a trivial central character. Then the following are equivalent:*
1) The partial L function $L^S(Spin_{10} \times St, \pi \times \tau, 2s - 1/2)$ has a simple pole at $s = 3/4$.
2) The period integral

$$\int\limits_{SO_{10}(F)\backslash SO_{10}(\mathbf{A})} \varphi_\pi(g)\theta_\tau(g)\theta(g)dg \tag{2}$$

is nonzero for some choice of data.
3) There exists a generic cuspidal representation σ of the exceptional group $G_2(\mathbf{A})$ such that π is the weak lift from the representation $\sigma \times \tau$ of the group $G_2(\mathbf{A}) \times PGL_2(\mathbf{A})$.

We now describe the content of the paper. In section two we introduce the global integral we consider, and show that it is Eulerian. We try to do it in an abstract way. Indeed, we assume the existence of a representation θ defined on the group $GSO_{10}(\mathbf{A})$ which is attached to the unipotent orbit (3^31). Using that data, we prove in theorem 1, that the global integral is Eulerian. This method has the advantage that it will work for any representation which will satisfy the properties listed in the theorem. This means, that for *any* such representation the integral will be Eulerian. In section 3 we construct an example of such a representation, which we denote by θ_τ, by means of a residue of an Eisenstein series. We are well aware of the existence of other such representations as well.

Section 4 is devoted to the unramified computations. The local integral and L-function are each expressed as a power series in $q^{-2s+1/2}$, χ, and χ^{-1}, where χ is the character from which τ is induced. The coefficients are traces of irreducible representations of $Spin_{10}(\mathbf{C})$. The power series are simplified by multiplying them by a certain polynomial. The desired equality is equivalent to a formula for tensor products of representations of "rectangular shape" which is due to Okada.

Sections 5 and 6 are devoted to the main theorem. The idea of the proof is as follows. The hard part in the theorem is to prove that part 2 implies part 3. To do that we first show that the cuspidal representation π is an endoscopic lifting from a cuspidal representation $\epsilon \times \tau$ defined on the group $Sp_6(\mathbf{A}) \times SL_2(\mathbf{A})$. This part is not trivial. To do it we need to use a new construction of a lifting which was announced in [G2] and is a work in progress in [G3]. In section 5 we give the precise details we need.

We don't give all the proofs, they will appear in [G3], but we give enough details for the reader to get the whole picture. Then, assuming part two of the above theorem, we show that the representation ϵ defined on $Sp_6(\mathbf{A})$ is actually a functorial lift from a generic representation σ defined on the exceptional group $G_2(\mathbf{A})$.

Finally, we wish to remark that the representation θ_τ seems to occur in other constructions of Rankin-Selberg integrals. Recently, we constructed some other global integrals, using this representation, which we proved to be Eulerian. It is our full intention to try to find the L functions they represent.

2 A Global Integral

Let G denote the similitude orthogonal group GSO_{10}. Let π denote a generic irreducible cuspidal representation on the group $G(\mathbf{A})$. We shall assume that it has a trivial central character. Let P denote the standard maximal parabolic subgroup of G whose levi part is $GL_1 \times GL_5$ which contains the standard Borel subgroup consisting of upper unipotent matrices. We shall denote by $U(P)$ the unipotent radical subgroup of P. Let $E(g,s)$ denote the Eisenstein series defined on the group $G(\mathbf{A})$ which is associated with the induced representation $Ind_{P(\mathbf{A})}^{G(\mathbf{A})}\delta_P^s$.

Let θ denote any automorphic representation defined on the group $G(\mathbf{A})$. Assume that it has a trivial central character. For a cusp form φ_π in the space of π we consider the integral

$$\int\limits_{Z(\mathbf{A})G(F)\backslash G(\mathbf{A})} \varphi_\pi(g)\theta(g)E(g,s)dg \qquad (3)$$

Here Z is the center of the group G. We are mainly interested in understanding what conditions we need to impose on the representation θ so that integral (3) will be Eulerian with the Whittaker function defined on the representation π.

In terms of matrices we consider the group G relative to the form defined by the matrix J_{10}. Here and elsewhere, the matrix J_n is the $n \times n$ matrix with ones on the other diagonal and zeros elsewhere. For $1 \le i \le 5$, let α_i denote the five simple roots of the group G. Let $x_{\alpha_i}(r)$ denote the one dimensional unipotent subgroup corresponding to the root α_i. We label the roots such that

$$x_{\alpha_1}(r) = I + re'_{1,2} \quad x_{\alpha_2}(r) = I + re'_{2,3} \quad x_{\alpha_3}(r) = I + re'_{3,4}$$

$$x_{\alpha_4}(r) = I + re'_{4,5} \quad x_{\alpha_5}(r) = I + re'_{4,6}$$

Here I is the 10×10 identity matrix and $e'_{i,j} = e_{i,j} - e_{11-j,11-i}$. For $1 \le i \le 5$ let $w[i]$ denote the simple reflection corresponding to the simple root α_i. We shall write $w[i_1 i_2 \ldots i_r]$ for $w[i_1]w[i_2]\ldots w[i_r]$.

Let ψ denote a nontrivial character on the group $F\backslash\mathbf{A}$. Let U denote the maximal unipotent subgroup of G which consists of upper triangular matrices. We define two characters on the group U. For an automorphic form ϕ defined on $G(\mathbf{A})$ we define its Whittaker model as

$$W_\phi(g) = \int\limits_{U(F)\backslash U(\mathbf{A})} \phi(ug){\psi'_U}^{-1}(u)du$$

Here, for $u=(u_{i,j})\in U$, we define $\psi'_U(u)=\psi(u_{1,2}+u_{2,3}+u_{3,4}+u_{4,5}+u_{4,6})$. Similarly, we define

$$\phi^{U,\psi_U}(g)=\int\limits_{U(F)\backslash U(\mathbf{A})}\phi(ug)\psi_U(u)du$$

where now $\psi_U(u)=\psi(u_{1,2}+u_{2,3}+u_{4,5}+u_{4,6})$.

In [G-R-S1] it is explained how to associate with a unipotent class of a classical group a set of Fourier coefficients. We also use the notation $\mathcal{O}_G$ as explained there. Roughly speaking, if σ is an automorphic representation of the group G one defines $\mathcal{O}_G(\sigma)$ as follows. It is defined to be the set of all unipotent classes of G such that for all $\mathcal{O}'$ with the property that if $\mathcal{O}'$ is greater or not related to a member in $\mathcal{O}_G(\sigma)$, then σ has no nontrivial Fourier coefficient corresponding to the unipotent class $\mathcal{O}'$. Also, the representation σ has a nonzero Fourier coefficient corresponding to any unipotent class $\mathcal{O}$ in $\mathcal{O}_G(\sigma)$.

We are now ready to prove the following

Theorem 1: *We keep the above notations. Let θ be an automorphic representation of the group $G(\mathbf{A})$ which satisfies:*
1) $\mathcal{O}_G(\theta)=(3^31)$.
2) The integral $\theta^{U,\psi_U}(g)$ is not zero for some choice of data.
Then, integral (3) *is Eulerian and for $Re(s)$ large it equals*

$$\int\limits_{Z(\mathbf{A})U(\mathbf{A})\backslash G(\mathbf{A})}\int\limits_{\mathbf{A}^2}W_\pi(g)\theta^{U,\psi_U}(g)f_s(w[53]x_{\alpha_3}(r_1)x_{\alpha_3+\alpha_5}(r_2)g)dr_1dr_2dg \tag{4}$$

Proof: For $Re(s)$ large we unfold integral (3) and we obtain

$$\int\limits_{Z(\mathbf{A})P(F)\backslash G(\mathbf{A})}\varphi_\pi(g)\theta(g)f_s(g)dg \tag{5}$$

Next we expand $\theta(g)$ along the unipotent radical of P. The Levi part of P acts on $U(P)$ with three orbits. Indeed, we may identify $U(P)$ with all matrices in G of the form $\begin{pmatrix}I & X\\ & I\end{pmatrix}$. Thus we may parameterize the orbits by the rank of the matrices X, which must be even. It follows from the cuspidality of π that the rank zero and rank two orbits contribute zero to the integral. Denote

$$\theta^{U(P),\psi}(g)=\int\limits_{X(F)\backslash X(\mathbf{A})}\theta\left(\begin{pmatrix}I & X\\ & I\end{pmatrix}\right)\psi(x_{2,1}+x_{3,2})dX.$$

The stabilizer of this character inside the Levi part of P is the group GSp_4. Thus (5) equals

$$\int\limits_{Z(\mathbf{A})GSp_4(F)U(P)(F)\backslash G(\mathbf{A})}\varphi_\pi(g)\theta^{U(P),\psi}(g)f_s(g)dg \tag{6}$$

Consider the unipotent group L which is generated by the matrices $I_{10}+re'_{1,2}$; $I_{10}+re'_{1,3}$; $I_{10}+re'_{1,4}$; $I_{10}+re'_{1,5}$. We expand $\theta^{U(P),\psi}(g)$ along the group $L(F)\backslash L(\mathbf{A})$.

The group GSp_4 acts on L with two orbits. By the cuspidality of π, the trivial orbit contributes zero to the integral. Denote $V = L \cdot U(P)$, and define a character ψ_V of V as follows. For $v \in V$ write $v = lu$ with $l = (l_{i,j}) \in L$ and $u = \begin{pmatrix} I & X \\ & I \end{pmatrix} \in U(P)$. We define $\psi_V(v) = \psi(l_{1,2} + x_{2,1} + x_{3,2})$. Thus we are left with the open orbit and hence integral (6) equals

$$\int\limits_{Z(\mathbf{A})R(F)V(\mathbf{A})\backslash G(\mathbf{A})} \varphi_\pi^{V,\psi_V^{-1}}(g)\theta^{V,\psi_V}(g)f_s(g)dg \tag{7}$$

where

$$\theta^{V,\psi_V}(g) = \int\limits_{V(F)\backslash V(\mathbf{A})} \theta(vg)\psi_V(v)dv$$

and similarly we define $\varphi_\pi^{V,\psi_V^{-1}}(g)$. Also, the group $R = GL_2 \cdot Y$ where

$$Y = \{(I_{10} + y_1e'_{2,3})(I_{10} - y_1e'_{4,5})(I_{10} + y_2e'_{2,4})(I_{10} + y_2e'_{3,5})(I_{10} + y_3e'_{2,5})\}$$

The group GL_2 is embedded in G as all matrices of the form $diag(|h|, |h|, h, 1, |h|, h, 1, 1)$ where $h \in GL_2$ and $|h| = deth$.

Next we consider the Fourier expansion

$$\theta^{V,\psi_V}(g) = \int\limits_{F\backslash\mathbf{A}} \theta^{V,\psi_V}((I_{10} + y_3e'_{2,5})g)dy_3 + \sum_{\alpha\in F^*}\int\limits_{F\backslash\mathbf{A}} \theta^{V,\psi_V}((I_{10} + y_3e'_{2,5})g)\psi(\alpha y_3)dy_3$$

We claim that each summand in the summation over α on the right hand side is zero. Indeed, since $\alpha \neq 0$ it follows that the integration over y_3 together with the integration over V, produces a Fourier coefficient which corresponds to the unipotent class (52^21). By assumption 1) in the theorem it follows that these Fourier coefficients are all zero. Thus we are left only with the constant term.

Denote $w = w[35]$. Let $Y_c = I_{10} + y_3e'_{2,5}$ and denote $V_1 = wVY_cw^{-1}$. To describe the group V_1 in term of matrices, let U_1 denote the standard unipotent radical of the maximal parabolic subgroup of G whose Levi part is $GL_1 \times GSO_8$. We consider the character ψ_U as a character of the group U_1 by restriction. Next we consider the unipotent subgroup of U defined by all matrices of the form

$$l(r_1, r_2, r_3, r_4, r_5, r_6, r_7) = I_{10} + r_1e'_{2,3} + r_2e'_{2,4} + r_3e'_{2,5} + r_4e'_{2,6} + r_5e'_{2,7} + r_6e'_{2,8} + r_7e'_{4,5}$$

Finally, we consider the group of all unipotent matrices of the form $z(m_1, m_2) = I_{10} + m_1e'_{4,3} + m_2e'_{6,3}$. A matrix multiplication implies that we have the factorization $v_1 = u_1l(r_1, 0, r_3, 0, r_5, r_6, r_7)z(m_1, m_2)$ where $v_1 \in V_1$ and $u_1 \in U_1$. We also consider the character ψ_U as a character of the group V_1 by restriction. Thus, for the above factorization, we have $\psi_U(v_1) = \psi_U(u_1)\psi(r_1 + r_7)$. From all this we obtain that (7) equals

$$\int\limits_{Z(\mathbf{A})GL_2(F)Y(F)Y_c(\mathbf{A})V(\mathbf{A})\backslash G(\mathbf{A})} \varphi_\pi^{V_1,\psi_U^{-1}}(wg)\theta^{V_1,\psi_U}(wg)f_s(g)dg \tag{8}$$

We proceed with the following Fourier expansion

$$\theta^{V_1,\psi_U}(wg)=\sum_{\delta_i\in F}\int\limits_{(F\backslash\mathbf{A})^2}\theta^{V_1,\psi_U}(l(0,r_2,0,r_4,0,0,0)wg)\psi(\delta_1r_2+\delta_2r_4)dr_2dr_4$$

Collapsing summation with integration this also equals

$$\int\limits_{\mathbf{A}^2}\theta^{V_2,\psi_U}(z(m_1,m_2)wg)dm_1dm_2$$

Here V_2 is the unipotent subgroup of U generated by the group U_1 and by the unipotent group consisting of all matrices $l(r_1,r_2,r_3,r_4,r_5,r_6,r_7)$. We view ψ_U as a character of V_2 by restriction. Performing the same expansion for φ_π, integral (8) equals

$$\int\int\limits_{\mathbf{A}^4}\varphi_\pi^{V_2,\psi_U^{-1}}(z(m_3,m_4)wg)\theta^{V_2,\psi_U}(z(m_1,m_2)wg)f_s(g)dm_idg \tag{9}$$

where the g integration is as in (8). Next we expand θ^{V_2,ψ_U} along the unipotent subgroup of U generated by all matrices of the form $t(r_1,r_2,r_3,r_4)=I_{10}+r_1e'_{3,4}+r_2e'_{3,5}+r_3e'_{3,6}+r_4e'_{3,7}$. It is not hard to check that the only nonzero contribution to the expansion comes from the constant term. Indeed, all other terms in the expansion will produce Fourier coefficients which correspond to unipotent classes which are greater than (3^31). By assumption 1) these Fourier coefficients are zero. Let V_3 denote the unipotent subgroup of U generated by V_2 and all matrices of the form $t(r_1,r_2,r_3,r_4)$. The above discussion implies that $\theta^{V_2,\psi_U}=\theta^{V_3,\psi_U}$. Factoring the integration over the group Y, integral (9) equals

$$\int\int\limits_{\mathbf{A}^4}\int\limits_{(F\backslash\mathbf{A})^2}\varphi_\pi^{V_2,\psi_U^{-1}}(t(0,r_2,0,r_4)z(m_3,m_4)wg)\theta^{V_3,\psi_U}(z(m_1,m_2)wg)f_s(g)dr_idm_jdg \tag{10}$$

Here the g variable is integrated over $Z(\mathbf{A})GL_2(F)Y(\mathbf{A})V(\mathbf{A})\backslash G(\mathbf{A})$.

We now expand the function $\varphi_\pi^{V_2,\psi_U^{-1}}$ in the above integral along the matrices $t(r_1,0,r_3,0)$ with points in $F\backslash\mathbf{A}$. The group GL_2 acts on this expansion with two orbits. The trivial one contributes zero to the integral because of the cuspidality of π. Thus (10) equals

$$\int\limits_{Z(\mathbf{A})GL_1(F)N'(F)Y(\mathbf{A})V(\mathbf{A})\backslash G(\mathbf{A})}\int\limits_{\mathbf{A}^4}\varphi_\pi^{V_3,\psi_U'^{-1}}(z(m_3,m_4)wg)\theta^{V_3,\psi_U}(z(m_1,m_2)wg)f_s(g)dm_jdg \tag{11}$$

Here N' is the maximal unipotent subgroup of GL_2 which, when embedded inside G, is a subgroup of U. The group GL_1 consists of all diagonal matrices inside the group G of the form $diag(a,a,a,1,1,a,a,1,1,1)$. The character ψ'_U, which was defined before the theorem, is viewed as a character of V_3 by restriction. Next we factor the integration in (11) over the group N. The group GL_1 acts on this group with two orbits. The trivial one contributes zero by cuspidality. Thus, (11) equals

$$\int\limits_{Z(\mathbf{A})U_0(\mathbf{A})\backslash G(\mathbf{A})}\ \int\limits_{\mathbf{A}^4} W_\pi(z(m_3,m_4)wg)\theta^{U,\psi_U}(z(m_1,m_2)wg)f_s(g)dm_j dg \tag{12}$$

Here U_0 is the group generated by V,Y and N. Next we conjugate the matrix $z(m_3,m_4)$ across w and collapse summation with integration. We also change variables $g\mapsto w^{-1}g$. Thus integral (12) equals

$$\int\limits_{Z(\mathbf{A})U_1(\mathbf{A})\backslash G(\mathbf{A})}\ \int\limits_{\mathbf{A}^2} W_\pi(g)\theta^{U,\psi_U}(z(m_1,m_2)wg)f_s(w[53]g)dm_j dg \tag{13}$$

where U_1 is the subgroup of U defined as follows. Let U_0' be the subgroup of U_0 where we omit the one dimensional unipotent subgroups corresponding to the roots α_5 and $\alpha_3+\alpha_5$. Then $U_1 = w^{-1}U_0'w$. Factoring the integration $U_1(\mathbf{A})\backslash U(\mathbf{A})$, we obtain integral (4). ■

3 A Construction of a Small Representation

In this section we construct a representation of the group $G(\mathbf{A})$ and show that it satisfies the assumptions stated in Theorem 1. This representation will depend on a choice of a cuspidal representation of $GL_2(\mathbf{A})$.

Let τ denote an irreducible cuspidal representation of the group $GL_2(\mathbf{A})$. We will assume that it has a trivial central character. Let $\sigma(\tau)$ denote the symmetric square lift of τ to $GL_3(\mathbf{A})$. This lift was constructed by Gelbart and Jacquet in [G-J]. Let $\mu(\tau)=\tau\otimes\tau$ denote the tensor product representation of $GSO_4(\mathbf{A})$. Denote by Q the standard maximal parabolic subgroup of G whose Levi part is $GL_3\times GSO_4$. We shall denote by $U(Q)$ its unipotent radical. Let $E_\tau(g,s)$ denote the Eisenstein series defined on the group $G(\mathbf{A})$ which is associated to the induced representation $Ind_{Q(\mathbf{A})}^{G(\mathbf{A})}(\sigma(\tau)\otimes\mu(\tau))\delta_Q^s$.

From the Langlands theory the poles of this Eisenstein series are determined by the poles of the constant terms. Since we induce from cuspidal data, it follows that we only need to consider the constant term along $U(Q)$. This is easily computed and hence the poles of $E_\tau(g,s)$ are determined by

$$\frac{L^S(\sigma(\tau)\times\mu(\tau),6s-3)L^S(\sigma(\tau),12s-6)}{L^S(\sigma(\tau)\times\mu(\tau),6s-2)L^S(\sigma(\tau),12s-5)}$$

where S is a finite set of places, including the archimedean ones, such that outside of S all data is unramified. The above L function is equal to

$$\frac{L^S(\sigma(\tau)\times\sigma(\tau),6s-3)L^S(\sigma(\tau),6s-3)L^S(\sigma(\tau),12s-6)}{L^S(\sigma(\tau)\times\sigma(\tau),6s-2)L^S(\sigma(\tau),6s-2)L^S(\sigma(\tau),12s-5)}$$

from which we deduce that the Eisenstein series has a simple pole at $s=2/3$. We denote $\theta_\tau(g)=Res_{s=2/3}E_\tau(g,s)$. In the rest of this section we will show that this representation does satisfy the assumptions of Theorem 1.

Since $\sigma(\tau)$ and $\mu(\tau)$ are both generic, the integral $\theta_\tau^{U,\psi_U}(g)$, which was defined at the beginning of section 2, is not zero for some choice of data. Since this integration corresponds to a unipotent orbit of the type (3^31), all we need to verify is that θ_τ has no nonzero Fourier coefficient which corresponds to any unipotent class which is greater than or not related to (3^31).

To do that we use the same method as in [G-R-S2] section two. We start by studying the unramified local representation corresponding to θ_τ. Let F be a local nonarchimedean field where τ is unramified. Assume that τ is a constituent of the induced representation $Ind_{B_2}^{GL_2}\chi\delta_{B_2}^{1/2}$, where χ is an unramified character of F^* and B_2 is the Borel subgroup of GL_2. By the definition of the symmetric square lift, the unramified local representation corresponding to $\sigma(\tau)$ is $Ind_{B_3}^{GL_3}\chi_1\delta_{B_3}^{1/2}$. Here B_3 is the Borel subgroup of GL_3, and χ_1 is defined as $\chi_1(diag(a,b,c)) = \chi^2(ac^{-1})$. In a similar way we have $\mu(\tau) = Ind_{B_4}^{GSO_4}\chi_2\delta_{B_4}^{1/2}$. Here B_4 is the Borel subgroup of GSO_4 and $\chi_2(diag(abr, ar, a^{-1}, a^{-1}b^{-1})) = \chi^2(ab)\chi(r)$. Here the factor $\chi(r)$ occurs because we assume that $\mu(\tau)$ has a trivial central character.

From all this we deduce

Lemma 2: *Let θ'_τ denote the unramified constituent of θ_τ at a nonarchimedean place. Then θ'_τ is a sub-quotient of $Ind_P^G\chi_3\delta_Q^{1/2}$. Here, for all $g \in GL_3$ and $h \in GSO_4$ we define $\chi_3((g,h)) = \chi^2(detg)\chi^3(\lambda(h))$ where $\lambda(h)$ denotes the similitude factor of the matrix h.*

Proof: Let B denote the Borel subgroup of G. The unramified representation θ'_τ is a constituent of the induced representation $Ind_B^G\chi_4\delta_B^{1/2}$ where $\chi_4(t) = \chi^2(a_1a_3^{-1}a_4)\chi(r)$ and $t = diag(ra_1, ra_2, ra_3, ra_4, r, 1, a_4^{-1}, a_3^{-1}, a_2^{-1}, a_1^{-1})$. Let w_0 denote the Weyl element of G which has a one at the entries

$$(1,1);(2,4);(3,8);(4,2);(5,6);(6,5);(7,9);(8,3);(9,7);(10,10)$$

and zero elsewhere. Then $Ind_B^G\chi_4\delta_B^{1/2}$ is isomorphic to $Ind_B^G\chi_4^{w_0}\delta_B^{1/2}$ where $\chi_4^{w_0}(t) = \chi_4(w_0^{-1}tw_0)$. This can be written as $Ind_B^G(\chi_5\delta_{B_3}^{1/2}\chi_6\delta_{B_4}^{1/2})\delta_Q^{1/2}$ where $\chi_5(t) = \chi^2(a_1a_2a_3)$ and $\chi_6(t) = \chi^3(r)$. From this it follows that θ'_τ is a sub-quotient of $Ind_P^G\chi_3\delta_Q^{1/2}$. ■

We return to the global situation. We need to prove that θ_τ has no nonzero Fourier coefficient which corresponds to any unipotent class which is greater than or not related to (3^31). As mentioned in section two, in [G-R-S1] it is explained how to associate with a unipotent class of a group G a set of Fourier coefficients. It is clear that θ_τ is not generic. Hence it has no nonzero Fourier coefficient with respect to the unipotent class (91). Arguing as in [G-R-S1] lemma 2.6 we deduce that if θ_τ has a nonzero Fourier coefficient corresponding to the unipotent class (73) then it has a nonzero Fourier coefficient which corresponds to the unipotent class (71^3). Similarly, if θ_τ has a nonzero Fourier coefficient which corresponds to the unipotent class $(5r_1 \dots r_k)$ then it has a nonzero Fourier coefficient which corresponds to the unipotent class (51^5). Arguing as in [G-R-S2] lemma 3, we deduce that if θ_τ has no nonzero Fourier coefficients which corresponds to the unipotent class (51^5) then it has no nonzero Fourier coefficient corresponding to the unipotent class (71^3). We should remark that these last statements are true for any automorphic representation of G and not only for θ_τ. Hence it is enough to show that θ_τ has no nonzero Fourier coefficients which correspond to the unipotent classes (51^5) and to (4^21^2). We now describe the families of Fourier coefficients which correspond to these two unipotent classes.

Let V denote the unipotent radical subgroup of the standard parabolic subgroup of G whose Levi part is $GL_1^2 \times GSO_6$. We view V as a subgroup of the maximal unipotent subgroup U of G which consists of upper triangular matrices. Let $a \in F^*$. We define a character $\psi_{V,a}$ of the group V as follows. For $v = (v_{i,j}) \in V$, set $\psi_{V,a}(v) = \psi(v_{1,2} + v_{2,5} + av_{2,6})$. Thus the Fourier coefficient of the representation θ_τ which corresponds to the unipotent class (51^5) is given by

$$\int\limits_{V(F)\backslash V(\mathbf{A})} \theta_\tau(vg)\psi_{V,a}(v)dv$$

Next we describe the Fourier coefficient which corresponds to the unipotent class (4^21^2). To do that, let R denote the subgroup of U defined as the group generated by all one dimensional unipotent subgroup associated with the positive roots of G where we omit the roots $\alpha_1, \alpha_3, \alpha_4$ and α_5. Thus, the dimension of R is 16. For $r = (r_{i,j}) \in R$ we define $\psi_R(r) = \psi(r_{1,3} + r_{2,4} + r_{3,7})$. The Fourier coefficient which corresponds to the unipotent class (4^21^2) is given by

$$\int\limits_{R(F)\backslash R(\mathbf{A})} \theta_\tau(rg)\psi_R(r)dr$$

To prove our result we will show that the local unramified component θ'_τ of θ_τ does not support a local functional of the above type. More precisely, we prove

Lemma 3: *Let $\mathcal{O}$ denote one of the unipotent orbits (51^5) or (4^21^2). Then θ'_τ has no nonzero linear functional $l_{\mathcal{O},a}$ which satisfies $l_{\mathcal{O},a}(\rho(v)x) = \psi_{V,a}^{-1}(v)l_{\mathcal{O},a}(x)$ and no nonzero linear functional $l_{\mathcal{O}}$ which satisfies $l_{\mathcal{O}}(\rho(r)x) = \psi_R^{-1}(r)l_{\mathcal{O}}(x)$. This is for all $v \in V$, $r \in R$ and x is a vector in the space of θ'_τ. Here we denoted by ρ the action of the representation θ'_τ.*

Proof: Arguing as in [G-R-S2] section 2 lemma 2 we have to show that the representation $Ind_Q^G\chi_3\delta_Q^{1/2}$ does not support any of these functionals. From the Bruhat theory this reduces to the problem of showing that $Ind_Q^G\chi_3\delta_Q^{1/2}$ has no admissible double coset in the space $Q\backslash G/L$ where L is either V or R. By that we mean that for any $g \in Q\backslash G/L$ there is $l \in L$ such that $glg^{-1} \in Q$ and that $\psi_L(l) \neq 1$. Here ψ_L is either $\psi_{V,a}$ or ψ_R. From the Bruhat decomposition we obtain that each element $g \in Q\backslash G/L$ can be written as $g = wu$ where w is a Weyl element of G and $u \in U$. As in [G-R-S2] section 2 lemma 2 we deduce that wu is not admissible if and only if w is not admissible. Let $U(Q)^-$ denote the transpose group of $U(Q)$. Thus $U(Q)^-$ consists of lower unipotent matrices. Let $x_1(r_1) = I_{10} + r_1e'_{1,2}, x_2(r_2) = I_{10} + r_2e'_{2,5}$ and $x_3(r_3) = I_{10} + r_3e'_{2,6}$. These are precisely the three one dimensional unipotent groups on which the character $\psi_{V,a}$ is not trivial. To prove that w is not admissible it is enough to show that for some i, we have $wx_i(r_i)w^{-1} \in Q$. Assume not. This means that $wx_i(r_i)w^{-1} \in U(Q)^-$ for all i. Since w is in G we have that if $w_{i,j} = 1$ then $w_{11-i,11-j} = 1$. This means that if $wx_2(r_2)w^{-1} = I_{10} + r_2e'_{i,j}$ then $wx_3(r_3)w^{-1} = I_{10} + r_3e'_{11-i,j}$. Hence if $wx_2(r_2)w^{-1} \in [U(Q)^-, U(Q)^-]$ then

$wx_3(r_3)w^{-1}\in Q$. Similarly, if $wx_3(r_3)w^{-1}\in[U(Q)^-,U(Q)^-]$ then $wx_2(r_2)w^{-1}\in Q$. From this it follows that both $wx_2(r_2)w^{-1},wx_3(r_3)w^{-1}\in U(Q)^-/[U(Q)^-,U(Q)^-]$. Since $x_1(r_1)$ does not commute with both $x_2(r_2)$ and $x_3(r_3)$, it follows the same for $wx_i(r_i)w^{-1}$. Hence, also $wx_1(r_1)w^{-1}\in U(Q)^-/[U(Q)^-,U(Q)^-]$. However, there is no one dimensional unipotent subgroup of G which corresponds to a root in G, which has the property that it is in $U(Q)^-/[U(Q)^-,U(Q)^-]$ and which will commute with both $wx_2(r_2)w^{-1}$ and $wx_3(r_3)w^{-1}$. Thus every w is not admissible and the lemma is proved for the functional $l_{\mathcal{O},a}$. A similar proof holds for the group R and the functional $l_{\mathcal{O}}$. ■

4 The Unramified Computation

In this section we carry out the unramified computation of the local integral which corresponds to integral (4). Let F denote a nonarchimedean field, and assume that all groups are defined over F. Let π denote an unramified irreducible generic representation of the group G. We assume that it has a trivial central character. We denote by $I(s)$ the local induced representation $Ind_P^G\delta_P^s$. Let τ denote an unramified irreducible representation of GL_2 and assume that it has a trivial central character. As in section 3 lemma 2 we denote by θ_τ' the local unramified constituent of the global representation θ_τ. The integral we consider is

$$\int\limits_{ZU\backslash G}\int\limits_{F^2} W_\pi(g)\theta_\tau'^{U,\psi_U}(g)f_s(w[53]x_{\alpha_3}(r_1)x_{\alpha_3+\alpha_5}(r_2)g)\psi(r_1)dr_1dr_2dg \tag{14}$$

Assume that all functions are the K fixed vectors in their space of representation, where K is the maximal compact subgroup of G. Let $Spin_{10}$ denote the 16 dimensional irreducible Spin representation of $GSpin_{10}(\mathbf{C})$, the L group of G. The corresponding L function was defined in [G1]. We denote by $L(Spin_{10}\times St,\pi\times\tau,s)$ the 32 dimensional L function which consists of the tensor product of these two representations. In this section we prove

Proposition 4: *For all unramified data, and for $Re(s)$ large, integral* (14) *equals*

$$\frac{L(Spin_{10}\times St,\pi\times\tau,2s-1/2)}{\zeta(8s)\zeta(8s-2)} \tag{15}$$

Proof: Let T denote the maximal torus of G. Using the Iwasawa decomposition, integral (14) equals

$$\int\limits_{Z\backslash T} W_\pi(t)\theta_\tau'^{U,\psi_U}(t)\delta_B^{-1}(t)\int\limits_{F^2} f_s(w[53]x_{\alpha_3}(r_1)x_{\alpha_3+\alpha_5}(r_2)t)\psi(r_1)dr_1dr_2dt$$

where B is the Borel subgroup of G. We parameterize an element t in $Z\backslash T$ as $t=diag(ab_1,ab_2,ab_3,ab_4,a,1,b_4^{-1},b_3^{-1},b_2^{-1},b_1^{-1})$. For this parameterization $\delta_B^{-1}(t)=|a|^{-10}|b_1|^{-8}|b_2|^{-6}|b_3|^{-4}|b_4|^{-2}$.

Next we compute the inner integration along the two dimensional unipotent subgroup. This is done exactly as in [G-H] section 3 right after identity (11). We thus obtain

$$\int\limits_{F^2} f_s(w[53]x_{\alpha_3}(r_1)x_{\alpha_3+\alpha_5}(r_2)t)\psi(r_1)dr_1dr_2 =$$

$$\frac{\zeta(8s-2)}{\zeta(8s)}(1-|b_3b_4^{-1}|^{8s-2}q^{-8s+2})|a|^{2s+1}|b_1b_2|^{4s}|b_3|^{-4s+2}|b_4|^{4s-1}$$

Here we used the fact that $\delta_P(t)=|a|^{10s}|b_1b_2b_3b_4|^{4s}$. Also, we denote $q=|p|$ where p is a generator of the maximal ideal of the ring of integers in the field F.

Recall, from section 3, that θ'^{U,ψ_U}_τ is the local component of a residue of an Eisenstein series at the point $2/3$. Hence we have

$$\theta'^{U,\psi_U}_\tau(t)=\delta_Q^{1/3}(t)W_\tau\begin{pmatrix} b_1 & & \\ & b_2 & \\ & & b_3\end{pmatrix}W_{\tau\times\tau}\begin{pmatrix} ab_4 & & & \\ & a & & \\ & & 1 & \\ & & & b_4^{-1}\end{pmatrix}$$

Here W_τ is the unramified Whittaker function corresponding to the induced representation $Ind_{B_3}^{GL_3}\chi_1\delta_{B_3}^{1/2}$ of GL_3 which was defined in section 3. Similarly, the function $W_{\tau\times\tau}$ is the unramified Whittaker function corresponding to the induced representation $Ind_{B_4}^{GSO_4}\chi_2\delta_{B_4}^{1/2}$. Denote $K_\pi(t)=W_\pi(t)\delta_B^{-1/2}(t)$ and also

$$K_\tau\begin{pmatrix} b_1 & & \\ & b_2 & \\ & & b_3\end{pmatrix}K_{\tau\times\tau}\begin{pmatrix} ab_4 & & & \\ & a & & \\ & & 1 & \\ & & & b_4^{-1}\end{pmatrix}=$$

$$W_\tau\begin{pmatrix} b_1 & & \\ & b_2 & \\ & & b_3\end{pmatrix}W_{\tau\times\tau}\begin{pmatrix} ab_4 & & & \\ & a & & \\ & & 1 & \\ & & & b_4^{-1}\end{pmatrix}|b_1^{-1}b_3||a|^{-1/2}|b_4|^{-1}$$

Using the fact that $\delta_Q^{1/3}(t)=|a|^3|b_1b_2b_3|^2$ we obtain that integral (14) equals

$$\frac{\zeta(8s-2)}{\zeta(8s)}\int\limits_{Z\backslash T}K_\pi(t)K_\tau\begin{pmatrix} b_1 & & \\ & b_2 & \\ & & b_3\end{pmatrix}K_{\tau\times\tau}\begin{pmatrix} ab_4 & & & \\ & a & & \\ & & 1 & \\ & & & b_4^{-1}\end{pmatrix}\times$$

$$(1-|b_3b_4^{-1}|^{8s-2}q^{-8s+2})|a|^{2s-1/2}|b_1b_2b_3^{-1}b_4|^{4s-1}dt$$

We consider the following change of variables. Set $a\mapsto t_1t_5^{-1}, b_1\mapsto t_2t_3t_4t_5, b_2\mapsto t_3t_4t_5, b_3\mapsto t_4t_5$ and $b_4\mapsto t_5$. With this change of variables the torus $Z\backslash T$ is parameterized as $t=diag(t_1t_2t_3t_4t_5, t_1t_3t_4t_5, t_1t_4t_5, t_1t_5, t_1, t_5, 1, t_4^{-1}, t_3^{-1}t_4^{-1}, t_2^{-1}t_3^{-1}t_4^{-1})$. Thus the above integral equals

$$\frac{\zeta(8s-2)}{\zeta(8s)}\int\limits_{Z\backslash T}K_\pi(t)K_\tau\begin{pmatrix} t_2t_3 & & \\ & t_2 & \\ & & 1\end{pmatrix}K_{\tau\times\tau}\begin{pmatrix} t_1t_5 & & & \\ & t_1 & & \\ & & t_5 & \\ & & & 1\end{pmatrix}\times$$

$$(1-|t_4|^{8s-2}q^{-8s+2})|t_1|^{2s-1/2}|t_2t_4|^{4s-1}|t_5|^{6s-3/2}|t_3|^{8s-2}dt$$

For $1 \leq i \leq 5$ write $t_i = p^{n_i}$. Also, we set $y = q^{-2s+1/2}$. Denote by $(m_1, m_2, m_3, m_4, m_5)$ the trace of the irreducible representation $\sum m_i \varpi_i$ evaluated at the semi-simple conjugacy class of $GSpin_{10}(\mathbf{C})$ associated with π. Here ϖ_i is the i-th fundamental representation of $GSpin_{10}(\mathbf{C})$. It follows from the Casselman-Shalika formula [C-S] that $K_\pi(t) = (n_2, n_3, n_4, n_5, n_1)$. Similarly, we denote by (m) the trace of the irreducible representation $m\varpi$ evaluated at the semi-simple conjugacy class of $GL_2(\mathbf{C})$ associated with τ. Using again the Casselman-Shalika formula we obtain

$$K_\tau \begin{pmatrix} t_2t_3 & & \\ & t_2 & \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix} K_{\tau\times\tau} \begin{pmatrix} t_1t_5 & & & \\ & t_1 & & \\ & & t_5 & \\ & & & 1 \end{pmatrix} = l(n_2, n_3) \otimes (n_1) \otimes (n_5)$$

where $l(n_2, n_3)$ denotes the restriction of the irreducible representation with highest weight $[n_2, n_3]$ of $GL_3(\mathbf{C})$ to the group $SO_3(\mathbf{C})$.

Thus, to prove the proposition we are reduced to prove the identity

$$\sum_{n_i}^{\infty}(n_2, n_3, n_4, n_5, n_1)k(n_1, n_2, n_3, n_5)y^{n_1+2n_2+4n_3+2n_4+3n_5}(1-y^{4(n_4+1)}) =$$

$$(1-y^4)^2 L(Spin_{10} \times St, \pi \times \tau, 2s-1/2)$$

where $k(n_1, n_2, n_3, n_5) = l(n_2, n_3) \otimes (n_1) \otimes (n_5)$. For χ as in section 3, we have

$$L(Spin_{10} \times St, \pi \times \tau, 2s-1/2) = L(Spin_{10}, \pi \otimes \chi, 2s-1/2)L(Spin_{10}, \pi \otimes \chi^{-1}, 2s-1/2).$$

By the Poincaré identity, and Brion's decomposition of the symmetric algebra of $Spin_{10}$ (see [Br]) we have

$$L(Spin_{10}, \pi \otimes \chi, 2s-1/2) = \sum_{m,\ell=0}^{\infty}(m, 0, 0, 0, \ell)\chi^{2m+\ell}y^{2m+\ell}.$$

Let

$$P(\chi, y) = (1-\chi^8y^8) + (\chi^6y^6 - \chi^2y^2)(1, 0, 0, 0, 0)\chi^2y^2 + \chi^3y^3(0, 0, 0, 1, 0) - \chi^5y^5(0, 0, 0, 0, 1).$$

Then, using again the Casselman-Shalika formula, we obtain

$$P(\chi, y)\sum_{m,\ell=0}^{\infty}(m, 0, 0, 0, \ell)\chi^{2m+\ell}y^{2m+\ell} = \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty}(0, 0, 0, 0, \ell)\chi^\ell y^\ell.$$

So, our identity reduces to

$$P(\chi, y)P(\chi^{-1}, y)\sum_{n_i}^{\infty}(n_2, n_3, n_4, n_5, n_1)k(n_1, n_2, n_3, n_5)y^{n_1+2n_2+4n_3+2n_4+3n_5}(1-y^{4(n_4+1)})$$

$$= (1-y^4)^2 \sum_{m,\ell=0}^{\infty} (0,0,0,0,\ell) \otimes (0,0,0,0,m) \chi^{m-\ell} y^{m+\ell}.$$

Here and henceforth, by abuse of notation, we write $(n_2, n_3, n_4, n_5, n_1)$ for the representation itself, as well as the value of the trace at the conjugacy class associated to π.

Lemma 5: *We have*

$$(0,0,0,0,\ell) \otimes (0,0,0,0,m) = \sum_{a+b \leq min(m,\ell)} (a,0,b,0,m+\ell-2a-2b).$$

Proof: This result is due to Okada [O] see also [Kr]. ■

What remains is to check that

$$P(\chi,y)P(\chi^{-1},y)\sum_{n_i}^{\infty}(n_2,n_3,n_4,n_5,n_1)k(n_1,n_2,n_3,n_5)y^{n_1+2n_2+4n_3+2n_4+3n_5}(1-y^{4(n_4+1)})$$

$$= (1-y^4)^2 \sum_{m,\ell=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{n_2+n_4 \leq min(m,\ell)} (n_2,0,n_4,0,m+\ell-2n_2-2n_4) \right) \chi^{m-\ell} y^{m+\ell} \qquad (16)$$

$$= (1-y^4)^2 \sum_{n_2,n_4,n_1=0}^{\infty} (n_2,0,n_4,0,n_1) y^{2n_2+2n_4+n_1} \chi^{-n_1} \frac{1-\chi^{2(n_1+1)}}{1-\chi^2}.$$

We check (16) in two stages. First, we show that

$$P(\chi,y)\sum_{n_i}^{\infty}(n_2,n_3,n_4,n_5,n_1)k(n_1,n_2,n_3,n_5)y^{n_1+2n_2+4n_3+2n_4+3n_5}(1-y^{4(n_4+1)}) \qquad (17)$$

$$= (1-y^4)^2 \sum_{n_i}^{\infty} \frac{1-\chi^{2(n_1+1)}}{1-\chi^2} \frac{1-\chi^{2(n_2+1)}}{1-\chi^2} \chi^{-(n_1+2n_2+2n_3+n_5)} y^{n_1+2n_2+4n_3+2n_4+3n_5}.$$

Then, we check that $P(\chi^{-1},y)$ times this sum is the desired sum. First, using the Casselman-Shalika formula, we note that $k(n_1,n_2,n_3,n_5)$ is equal to

$$\frac{(1-\chi^{2(n_2+1)})(1-\chi^{2(n_3+1)})(1-\chi^{2(n_2+n_3+2)})(1-\chi^{2(n_1+1)})(1-\chi^{2(n_5+1)})}{(1-\chi^2)^4(1-\chi^4)} \chi^{-(n_1+2n_2+2n_3+n_5)}.$$

To check (17), we shall verify that the coefficient of (n_2,n_3,n_4,n_5,n_1) is the same on both sides. Let

$$g_{[n_i]}(\chi,y) = \frac{(1-\chi^{2(n_2+1)})(1-\chi^{2(n_3+1)})(1-\chi^{2(n_2+n_3+2)})(1-\chi^{2(n_1+1)})(1-\chi^{2(n_5+1)})}{(1-\chi^2)^4(1-\chi^4)}$$

$$\chi^{-(n_1+2n_2+n_3+n_5)} y^{n_1+2n_2+4n_3+2n_4+3n_5}(1-y^{4(n_4+1)}).$$

Let Γ_1 denote the set of weights of $(1,0,0,0,0)$, Γ_4 those of $(0,0,0,1,0)$, and Γ_5 those of $(0,0,0,0,1)$. Then, we claim that

$$P(\chi,y)\sum_{n_i}^{\infty}(n_2,n_3,n_4,n_5,n_1)g_{[n_i]}(\chi,y) = \sum_{n_i}^{\infty}(n_2,n_3,n_4,n_5,n_1)G_{(n_i)}(\chi,y)$$

where

$$G_{(n_i)}(\chi,y) = (1-\chi^8y^8)g_{[n_i]}(\chi,y) + (\chi^6y^6-\chi^2y^2)\sum_{w\in\Gamma_1} g_{[n_i-w_i]}(\chi,y) + \tag{18}$$

$$\chi^3y^3\sum_{w\in\Gamma_4} g_{[n_i-w_i]}(\chi,y) - \chi^5y^5\sum_{w\in\Gamma_5} g_{[n_i-w_i]}(\chi,y)).$$

Indeed, Brauer proved in general that if χ_λ denotes the character of the irreducible representation of highest weight λ, then

$$\chi_\lambda\chi_\mu = \sum_{\nu} s_\nu \chi_{|\lambda+\nu+\rho|-\rho},$$

where the sum is over the weights of the representation with highest weight μ, with multiplicity, $|\tau|$ denotes the dominant member of the Weyl orbit of τ, and s_ν is equal to $(-1)^w$ if $w(\lambda+\nu+\rho) = |\lambda+\nu+\rho|$ for a unique w, and zero if $\lambda+\nu+\rho$ has a stabilizer in the Weyl group. See [Bu] p. 171 and exercises. In our case, no element of $\Gamma_1\cup\Gamma_4\cup\Gamma_5$ has an entry less than -1, so when $\lambda+\nu+\rho$ is not dominant, it has a stabilizer in the Weyl group. This proves (18) when no n_i is zero. If any of the n_i is zero, there are extra terms on the right hand side corresponding to $n_i - w_i = -1$. But referring back to the formula for $g_{[n_i]}$, we see that all these terms vanish anyway.

Hence, to check (17), we now need to check that $G_{(n_i)}$ is equal to the coefficient of (n_2,n_3,n_4,n_5,n_1) on the right side of (17). Replacing $\chi^{2(n_i+1)}$ by X_i and y^{4n_4} by Y_4 we obtain an identity of polynomials in seven variables which is straightforward to verify by computer.

Now, we need to check that

$$P(\chi^{-1},y)\sum_{n_i}^{\infty}\frac{1-\chi^{2(n_1+1)}}{1-\chi^2}\frac{1-\chi^{2(n_2+1)}}{1-\chi^2}\chi^{-(n_1+2n_2+2n_3+n_5)}y^{n_1+2n_2+4n_3+2n_4+3n_5}$$

$$= \sum_{n_2,n_4,n_1=0}^{\infty}(n_2,0,n_4,0,n_1)y^{2n_2+2n_4+n_1}\chi^{-n_1}\frac{1-\chi^{2(n_1+1)}}{1-\chi^2}.$$

Let

$$h_{[n_i]}(\chi,y) = \frac{1-\chi^{2(n_1+1)}}{1-\chi^2}\frac{1-\chi^{2(n_2+1)}}{1-\chi^2}\chi^{-(n_1+2n_2+2n_3+n_5)}y^{n_1+2n_2+4n_3+2n_4+3n_5}$$

when all n_i are nonnegative. Note that this expression is equal to zero if n_1 or n_2 is equal to -1. We extend the definition of $h_{[n_i]}$ to be zero if $\min(n_3,n_4,n_5) = -1$. Then the left hand side is equal to

$$\sum_{n_i}^{\infty}(n_2,n_3,n_4,n_5,n_1)H_{(n_i)}(\chi,y),$$

where

$$H_{(n_i)}(\chi,y) = (1-\chi^{-8}y^8)h_{[n_i]}(\chi,y) + (\chi^{-6}y^6 - \chi^{-2}y^2)\sum_{w\in\Gamma_1} h_{[n_i-w_i]}(\chi,y) +$$

$$\chi^{-3}y^3\sum_{w\in\Gamma_4} h_{[n_i-w_i]}(\chi,y) - \chi^{-5}y^5\sum_{w\in\Gamma_5} h_{[n_i-w_i]}(\chi,y)).$$

We claim that this is equal to

$$y^{2n_2+2n_4+n_1}\chi^{-n_1}\frac{1-\chi^{2(n_1+1)}}{1-\chi^2},$$

when $n_3 = n_5 = 0$, and zero otherwise. To show this, we partition each Γ_i into eight sets, according to whether the w_3, w_4, and w_5 are positive or nonpositive. This determines in which $H_{(n_i)}$ the corresponding term is zero by convention.

We replace $\chi^{2(n_1+1)}$ and $\chi^{2(n_2+1)}$ by X_1 and X_2 throughout. A factor of

$$\chi^{-(n_1+2n_2+2n_3+n_5)}y^{n_1+2n_2+4n_3+2n_4+3n_5}(1-\chi^2)^{-2}$$

may be factored out of the sum and moved to the other side, which then becomes

$$R(\chi,X_1,X_2) = \frac{X_2}{\chi^2}(1-X_1)(1-\chi^2),$$

when $n_3 = n_5 = 0$. On the other side of the equation we have a polynomial obtained by summing

$$(1-X_1\chi^{-2w_1})(1-X_2\chi^{-2w_2})\chi^{w_1+2w_2+2w_3+w_5}y^{-(w_1+2w_2+4w_3+2w_4+3w_5)}$$

over the weights, with the correct coefficients from P. We break this into eight pieces.

Let Q_{nnn} denote the sum over weights where w_3, w_4, w_5 are all nonpositive, Q_{ppp} the sum where they are all positive, Q_{npn} the sum over weights such that w_3 and w_5 are nonpositive, and w_4 is positive, and so on. Since $(1-\chi^8y^8)h_{[n_i]}(\chi,y)$ is there for every $[n_i]$, it is included in Q_{nnn}. The answers are:

$$Q_{ppp} = Q_{ppn} = Q_{npp} = Q_{npn} = 0$$

$$Q_{nnn} = Q_{pnp} = R$$

$$Q_{nnp} = Q_{pnn} = -R.$$

From here it is an easy application of the inclusion-exclusion principle. (It is easy to check by hand that $Q_{ppp} = Q_{ppn} = Q_{npp} = 0$, since in all three cases the set of roots satisfying the desired condition is empty.)

5 A construction of a certain lifting

In this section we shall use a certain small representation, defined on the group $SO_{22}(\mathbf{A})$, in order to construct the endoscopic lifting from $PGL_2 \times Sp_6$ to the group SO_{10}. Then, in the next section we will use this construction to prove our main result.

This construction is a special case of a more general set of constructions which are defined on classical groups. It is a part of a work in progress of the first named author and was partly announced in [G2]. To make things clear, we shall now sketch this construction and just state the main results. The details of the proofs will appear in [G3].

Let τ denote a cuspidal representation of $PGL_2(\mathbf{A})$. In section 3 we constructed a small representation θ_τ, defined on the group $GSO_{10}(\mathbf{A})$. Clearly the construction there is also valid if we consider the group SO_{10} instead. Recall that $\sigma(\tau)$ is the cuspidal representation of $GL_3(\mathbf{A})$ obtained by the symmetric square lift of τ, as constructed in [G-J]. Let $E_\tau(g,s)$ denote the Eisenstein series defined on $GL_6(\mathbf{A})$ associated with the induced representation $Ind_{L(\mathbf{A})}^{GL_6(\mathbf{A})}(\sigma(\tau)\otimes\sigma(\tau))\delta_L^s$. Here L is the maximal parabolic subgroup of GL_6 whose Levi part is $GL_3 \times GL_3$. It is well known, see for example [J], that this representation has a unique simple pole and its residue, which we shall denote by E_τ, is the well known Speh representation. Let Q denote the maximal parabolic subgroup of the split orthogonal group SO_{22}, whose Levi part is $GL_6 \times SO_{10}$. Let $\widetilde{E}_\tau(m,s)$ denote the Eisenstein series defined on $SO_{22}(\mathbf{A})$ associated with the induced representation $Ind_{Q(\mathbf{A})}^{SO_{22}(\mathbf{A})}(E_\tau \otimes \theta_\tau)\delta_Q^s$.

The following two facts about this Eisenstein series are proved in [G3].

a) In the domain $Re(s) > 1/2$, the Eisenstein series $\widetilde{E}_\tau(m,s)$ has a unique simple pole. We shall denote the residue representation which is obtained by $\widetilde{\theta}_\tau$. By abuse of notations we shall denote by $\widetilde{\theta}_\tau(m)$ a vector in this representation when realized in the space of automorphic forms.

b) Using the notations introduced in the beginning of section 2, we have $\mathcal{O}_{SO_{22}}(\widetilde{\theta}_\tau) = (3^7 1)$. This means that $\widetilde{\theta}_\tau$ has a nonzero Fourier coefficient which corresponds to the unipotent orbit $(3^7 1)$. Also, for any unipotent orbit $\mathcal{O}$ which is greater than or not related to $(3^7 1)$, the representation $\widetilde{\theta}_\tau$ has no nonzero Fourier coefficient corresponding to the orbit $\mathcal{O}$.

At this point we are ready to introduce the global lifting we need for our result. Let ϵ_1 denote a cuspidal representation defined on the group $Sp_6(\mathbf{A})$. Let $\widetilde{\theta}_\phi$ denote the theta representation defined on the group $\widetilde{Sp}_{60}(\mathbf{A})$. Here $\widetilde{Sp}$ denotes the double cover of the symplectic group and ϕ denotes a Schwartz function defined on $\mathbf{A}^{30}$. Let U denote the unipotent radical subgroup of Q and let H_{61} denote the Heisenberg group with 61 variables. We shall write an element $h \in H_{61}$ as $h = (X, Y, z)$ where $X, Y \in Mat_{3\times 10}$ and $z \in Mat_{1\times 1}$. We define a homomorphism $l : U \mapsto H_{31}$ as follows. In terms of matrices we can identify U with the group of all matrices

$$\left\{ u = \begin{pmatrix} I_3 & & Y & R_1 & R_2 \\ & I_3 & X & R_3 & R_1^* \\ & & I_{10} & X^* & Y^* \\ & & & I_3 & \\ & & & & I_3 \end{pmatrix} \right\} \tag{19}$$

Here $X, Y \in Mat_{3\times 10}$, $R_1 \in Mat_{3\times 3}$ and $R_2, R_3 \in Mat^0_{3\times 3} = \{R \in Mat_{3\times 3} : R^t J_3 + J_3 R = 0\}$. The matrix J_3 was defined in the beginning of section 2. For all $u \in U$ as above, if $R_1 = (r_{i,j})$ we define $l(u) = (X, Y, r_{1,1} + r_{2,2} + r_{3,3})$. This map is clearly onto.

We now consider

$$f_1(g) = \int\limits_{Sp_6(F)\backslash Sp_6(\mathbf{A})} \int\limits_{U(F)\backslash U(\mathbf{A})} \varphi_{\epsilon_1}(h)\widetilde{\theta}_\phi(l(u)(h,g))\widetilde{\theta}_\tau(u(h,g))dudh \tag{20}$$

Here $g \in SO_{10}(\mathbf{A})$, and the embedding of the groups Sp_6 and SO_{10} in Sp_{60} and SO_{22} are as follows. First, inside Sp_{60} we embed these two groups via the tensor product representation. In SO_{22}, we embed them in the obvious way inside the Levi part of Q which is $GL_6 \times SO_{10}$.

Let π_1 denote the automorphic representation on $SO_{10}(\mathbf{A})$ defined by all right translations of the functions $f_1(g)$ defined in (20). We summarize some of the properties of this representation. The proofs are given in [G3].

1) The representation π_1 is a cuspidal representation provided a certain integral is zero. This is the tower property, and the certain integral we refer to is the lifting in the previous stage. Since we will not need this point we shall not discuss it any further.

2) The representation π_1 is generic if and only if ϵ_1 is a generic cuspidal representation of $Sp_6(\mathbf{A})$.

It is important to us that we can interchange the representations π_1 and ϵ_1 in integral (20). More precisely, let now π denote a cuspidal representation defined on $SO_{10}(\mathbf{A})$. Then we can form the space of automorphic functions defined by

$$f(h) = \int\limits_{SO_{10}(F)\backslash SO_{10}(\mathbf{A})} \int\limits_{U(F)\backslash U(\mathbf{A})} \varphi_\pi(g)\widetilde{\theta}_\phi(l(u)(h,g))\widetilde{\theta}_\tau(u(h,g))dudg \tag{21}$$

Let ϵ denote the automorphic representation of $Sp_6(\mathbf{A})$ defined by right translations of the above functions $f(h)$. The last fact that we need, and which will be proved in [G3], is the relations at the unramified components of each of these representations. More precisely, let π, ϵ and τ be as above. Suppose that the integral

$$\int\limits_{Sp_6(F)\backslash Sp_6(\mathbf{A})} \int\limits_{SO_{10}(F)\backslash SO_{10}(\mathbf{A})} \int\limits_{U(F)\backslash U(\mathbf{A})} f_\epsilon(h)\varphi_\pi(g)\widetilde{\theta}_\phi(l(u)(h,g))\widetilde{\theta}_\tau(u(h,g))dudgdh \tag{22}$$

is not zero for some choice of data. Then we have a "Howe duality" type of result. That is,

3) Suppose that integral (22) is not zero for some choice of data. Let ν be a finite nonarchimedean place where all the data is unramified. Let $\theta_{\tau,\nu}, \epsilon_\nu, \pi_\nu$ and $\omega_{\psi,\nu}$ denote the local representations corresponding to the global ones which appear in integral (22). Then, that integral induces an element in the space

$$Hom_{Sp_6\times SO_{10}}((\theta_{\tau,\nu} \otimes \omega_{\psi,\nu})_U, \epsilon_\nu \otimes \pi_\nu)$$

where $(\ldots)_U$ is the corresponding Jacquet module. As in [G2] section 6, it will be proved in [G3], that any two of the representations $\tau_\nu, \epsilon_\nu, \pi_\nu$ determines the third one uniquely.

From this we deduce that the representation π_1, as defined by integral (20), if nonzero, is the weak functorial lift from ϵ_1 and τ. Similarly, if nonzero, integral (21) defines an automorphic representation ϵ on $Sp_6(\mathbf{A})$, such that the representation π is the weak lift from ϵ and τ. In the following Lemma, we will prove that ϵ, as defined by integral (21) is cuspidal. We don't know if the image of the lift is irreducible, however, it is clear that all irreducible summands are nearly equivalent.

We start by proving

Lemma 6: *The representation ϵ is cuspidal.*

Proof: Let V be a standard unipotent subgroup of Sp_6. By standard we mean that V consists of upper unipotent matrices. We shall use the form $\begin{pmatrix} & J_3 \\ -J_3 & \end{pmatrix}$ to represent the symplectic group Sp_6 in terms of matrices. Write

$$\widetilde{\theta}_\phi(l(u)(v,g)) = \sum_{\xi\in Mat_{3\times 10}(F)} \omega_\psi(l(u)(v,g))\phi(\xi) = \sum_{\xi\in Mat_{3\times 10}(F)} \omega_\psi((X+\xi,Y,z)(v,g))\phi(0)$$

Here ω_ψ is the Weil representation and the above equalities are obtained from the well known formulas for the Weil representation (see for example [G-R-S3]).Plugging this identity into (21), collapsing the summation and the integration over the X variable in U, we deduce that the integral $\int\limits_{V(F)\backslash V(\mathbf{A})} f(v)dv$ is zero for all choice of data, if and only if the integral

$$\int\limits_{SO_{10}(F)\backslash SO_{10}(\mathbf{A})}\ \int\limits_{V(F)\backslash V(\mathbf{A})}\ \int\limits_{U_1(F)\backslash U_1(\mathbf{A})} \varphi_\pi(g)\widetilde{\theta}_\tau(u_1(v,g))\psi_1(R_1)du_1dvdg \tag{23}$$

is zero for all choice of data. Here U_1 is the subgroup of U which consists of all matrices as in (19) such that $X=0$. The character ψ_1 is defined as follows. Write u_1 in the coordinates as given in (19). If $R_1=(r_{i,j})\in Mat_{3\times 3}$, then $\psi_1(R_1)=\psi(r_{1,1}+r_{2,2}+r_{3,3})$.

At this point we need to consider the three nonconjugate maximal unipotent radicals of Sp_6. We shall work out the details in the case where V is the unipotent radical of the Siegel parabolic. The other two cases are treated similarly. Let

$$V=\left\{ v=\begin{pmatrix} I_3 & Z \\ & I_3\end{pmatrix}\ :\ Z\in Mat_{3\times 3}; Z^tJ_3=J_3Z\right\}$$

Thus (23) is equal to

$$\int \varphi_\pi(g)\widetilde{\theta}_\tau\left[\begin{pmatrix} I_3 & Z & Y & R_1 & R_2 \\ & I_3 & & R_3 & R_1^* \\ & & I_{10} & & Y^* \\ & & & I_3 & Z^* \\ & & & & I_3 \end{pmatrix}(1,g)\right]\psi_1(R_1)du_1dZdg \tag{24}$$

Here g and u_1 are integrated as in (23) and Z is integrated over $V(F)\backslash V(\mathbf{A})$. If $Z=(z_{i,j})$ we consider the Fourier expansion of the above integral along the variables $z_{2,3}, z_{3,2}$ and $z_{3,3}$ each integrated over $F\backslash\mathbf{A}$. Conjugating by a suitable discrete matrix in R_3 we collapse summation and integration, and deduce that the vanishing of (24) for all choice of data is equivalent to the vanishing of

$$\int \varphi_\pi(g)\widetilde{\theta}_\tau\left[\begin{pmatrix} I_3 & Z & Y & R_1 & R_2 \\ & I_3 & & & R_1^* \\ & & I_{10} & & Y^* \\ & & & I_3 & Z^* \\ & & & & I_3 \end{pmatrix}(1,g)\right]\psi_1(R_1)du_1dZdg \tag{25}$$

for all choice of data. Now Z is integrated over $Mat_{3\times 3}(F)\backslash Mat_{3\times 3}(\mathbf{A})$. Let U_2 denote the unipotent subgroup of SO_{22} which consists of all matrices of the form

$$\left\{ u = \begin{pmatrix} I_3 & Z & Y & R_1 & R_2 \\ & I_3 & & & R_1^* \\ & & I_{10} & & Y^* \\ & & & I_3 & Z^* \\ & & & & I_3 \end{pmatrix} \right\} \tag{26}$$

Conjugating integral (25) by a suitable Weyl element, it is then enough to show that the integral

$$\int \varphi_\pi(g)\widetilde{\theta}_\tau\left[\begin{pmatrix} I_3 & R_1 & Y & Z & R_2 \\ & I_3 & & & Z^* \\ & & I_{10} & & Y^* \\ & & & I_3 & R_1^* \\ & & & & I_3 \end{pmatrix}(1,g)\right]\psi_1(R_1)du_2dg \tag{27}$$

is zero for all choice of data. Here u_2 is integrated over $U_2(F)\backslash U_2(\mathbf{A})$. Next we consider the Fourier expansion of (27) along the unipotent group

$$\begin{pmatrix} I_3 & & & & \\ & I_3 & & R_3 & \\ & & I_{10} & & \\ & & & I_3 & \\ & & & & I_3 \end{pmatrix} \qquad R_3 \in Mat^0_{3\times 3}$$

with points in $F\backslash\mathbf{A}$. Under the action of $GL_3(F)$ embedded as $diag(m, m, I_{10}, m^*, m^*)$, there are two orbits to consider in this expansion. The first one is given by a sum of integrals of the form

$$\int \varphi_\pi(g)\widetilde{\theta}_\tau\left[\begin{pmatrix} I_3 & R_1 & Y & Z & R_2 \\ & I_3 & & R_3 & Z^* \\ & & I_{10} & & Y^* \\ & & & I_3 & R_1^* \\ & & & & I_3 \end{pmatrix}(1,g)\right]\psi_1(R_1)\psi_2(R_3)du_2dR_3dg \tag{28}$$

where $\psi_2(R_3) = \psi(r_{1,2})$ for all $R_3 = (r_{i,j})$. After some further tedious expansions and suitable conjugations one can show that the above integral is a sum of Fourier coefficients where each one of them corresponds to a unipotent orbit which is bigger than or equal to (41^{18}). Since $\mathcal{O}_{SO_{22}}(\widetilde{\theta}_\tau) = (3^71)$ it follows that (28) is zero for all choice of data. Thus we are left with the trivial orbit. That is, we need to show that for all choice of data the integral

$$\int \varphi_\pi(g)\widetilde{\theta}_\tau\left[\begin{pmatrix} I_3 & R_1 & Y & Z & R_2 \\ & I_3 & & R_3 & Z^* \\ & & I_{10} & & Y^* \\ & & & I_3 & R_1^* \\ & & & & I_3 \end{pmatrix}(1,g)\right]\psi_1(R_1)du_2dR_3dg \tag{29}$$

is zero. Next we expand integral (29) along the unipotent set

$$\begin{pmatrix} I_3 & & & & \\ & I_3 & X & & \\ & & I_{10} & X^* & \\ & & & I_3 & \\ & & & & I_3 \end{pmatrix} \qquad X \in Mat_{3\times 10}$$

with points in $F\backslash\mathbf{A}$. The group $GL_3(F)\times SO_{10}(F)$ acts on this expansion with various orbits. The orbits can be identified with vectors $\xi_i \in F^{10}$, for $1 \le i \le 3$, according to the length of these vectors. If at least one of these vectors has nonzero length, then the corresponding Fourier coefficient contains as inner integration a Fourier coefficient which corresponds to the unipotent orbit (51^{17}). By the smallness of $\widetilde{\theta}_\tau$ this Fourier coefficient is zero. Thus we are left with the cases where the length of all vectors is zero. Hence we can write the above Fourier expansion as a sum of integrals of the form

$$\int \varphi_\pi(g)\widetilde{\theta}_\tau\left[\begin{pmatrix} I_3 & R_1 & Y & Z & R_2 \\ & I_3 & X & R_3 & Z^* \\ & & I_{10} & X^* & Y^* \\ & & & I_3 & R_1^* \\ & & & & I_3 \end{pmatrix}(1,g)\right]\psi_1(R_1)\psi_\nu(X)dXdu_2dR_3dg \tag{30}$$

Here $\nu = (\nu_1, \nu_2, \nu_3)$ is one of the vectors $(0,0,0), (1,0,0), (1,1,0)$ or $(1,1,1)$. The characters ψ_ν are defined as follows. Given $X = (x_{i,j}) \in Mat_{3\times 10}$ we define $\psi_\nu(X) = \psi(\nu_1 x_{1,1} + \nu_2 x_{2,2} + \nu_3 x_{3,3})$ where ν is any one of the above four vectors. The variable g is integrated over $SO_{10-2(\nu_1+\nu_2+\nu_3)}(F)U_\nu\backslash SO_{10}(\mathbf{A})$, where U_ν is the unipotent radical of the maximal parabolic subgroup of SO_{10} whose Levi part is $GL_{\nu_1+\nu_2+\nu_3} \times SO_{10-2(\nu_1+\nu_2+\nu_3)}$. If $\nu = (0,0,0)$ then from the definition of $\widetilde{\theta}_\tau$ we obtain the integral $\int \varphi_\pi(g)\theta_\tau(g)dg$ as inner integration. Here g is integrated over $SO_{10}(F)\backslash SO_{10}(\mathbf{A})$. Hence by the cuspidality of π this integral is zero. If ν is any one of the other three cases, we continue by expanding integral (30) along the group $U_\nu(F)\backslash U_\nu(\mathbf{A})$. If this group is not abelian, we first expand along its center. By the smallness of $\widetilde{\theta}_\tau$ we obtain that all orbits in the various expansions are zero except the constant term along U_ν. This follows from the fact that each nontrivial Fourier coefficient will contain, as inner integration, a Fourier coefficient corresponding to a unipotent orbit which is greater than or not related to (3^71). Hence it will give zero contribution. The constant term will also vanish. Indeed, in this case after factoring the integral, we will obtain the integral $\int \varphi_\pi(ug)du$ as inner integration. Here, the variable u is integrated over $U_\nu(F)\backslash U_\nu(\mathbf{A})$. Hence we get zero by the cuspidality of π. This completes the proof of the vanishing for the unipotent radical of the Siegel parabolic. We still have to prove the same for the other two maximal unipotent radicals. This is done in a similar way and we shall omit the details. ■

6 The Main Theorem

We recall the basic notations we used in the previous sections. Let π denote a generic cuspidal representation of $GSO_{10}(\mathbf{A})$. We shall assume that π has a trivial central character. Let τ denote a cuspidal representation of $PGL_2(\mathbf{A})$. In section two we introduced the global integral

$$\int\limits_{Z(\mathbf{A})G(F)\backslash G(\mathbf{A})} \varphi_\pi(g)\theta_\tau(g)E(g,s)dg \tag{31}$$

Here $G = GSO_{10}$, and Z is the center of G. The representation θ_τ was introduced in section three, and the Eisenstein series $E(g,s)$ was defined at the beginning of section two. It follows from sections 2 and 3 that (31) unfolds to a Whittaker integral, and hence is Eulerian. From Proposition 4 in section 4 we deduce that at the non-archimedean unramified places this integral represents the L function $L(Spin_{10}\times St, \pi\times\tau, 2s-1/2)$. Let S denote a finite number of places in F which includes all archimedean places, such that outside of S all data is unramified. We shall denote by $L^S(Spin_{10}\times St, \pi\times\tau, 2s-1/2)$ the corresponding partial L function.

It follows from [K-R] that the Eisenstein series $E(g,s)$ can have at most a simple pole at the points $s = 1$ and $s = 3/4$. The residue at $s = 1$ of $E(g,s)$ is the trivial representation, and hence the residue of integral (31) is zero at that point. We are interested in the residue of (31) at the other point. In this section only, we shall denote by θ the residual representation of $E(g,s)$ at $s = 3/4$. In other words, we denote $\theta(g) = Res_{s=3/4}E(g,s)$. It follows from [G-R-S4] section 6 that θ is the irreducible minimal representation of G. We are now ready to state and prove our main result
Main Theorem: *Let π be an irreducible generic cuspidal representation of the group $G(\mathbf{A})$ which has a trivial central character. Then the following are equivalent:*
1) The partial L function $L^S(Spin_{10}\times St, \pi\times\tau, 2s-1/2)$ has a simple pole at $s = 3/4$.
2) The period integral

$$\int\limits_{SO_{10}(F)\backslash SO_{10}(\mathbf{A})} \varphi_\pi(g)\theta_\tau(g)\theta(g)dg \tag{32}$$

is nonzero for some choice of data.
3) There exists a generic cuspidal representation σ of the exceptional group $G_2(\mathbf{A})$ such that π is the weak lift from the representation $\sigma\times\tau$ of the group $G_2(\mathbf{A})\times PGL_2(\mathbf{A})$.
Proof: Two parts are not hard to prove. Suppose 1) holds. Arguing as in [Ga-S] section 7, one can show that for any place in the global field F, given a value of $s \in \mathbf{C}$, one

can choose data such that the local integral (14) is nonzero. From the results in the previous sections we hence deduce that the integral

$$\int\limits_{Z(\mathbf{A})GSO_{10}(F)\backslash GSO_{10}(\mathbf{A})} \varphi_\pi(g)\theta_\tau(g)\theta(g)dg \tag{33}$$

is nonzero for some choice of data. Factoring the similitude in (33), we deduce that if the partial L has a pole at $s = 3/4$, then integral (32) is nonzero for some choice of data. Thus 1) implies 2).

The implication 3) implies 1) is also not hard. Suppose that π is the weak lift from $\sigma \times \tau$. Then branching from $SO_{10}(\mathbf{C})$ to $G_2(\mathbf{C}) \times SL_2(\mathbf{C})$ we obtain that $L^S(Spin_{10} \times St, \pi \times \tau, 2s - 1/2)$ is equal to

$$L^S(G_2 \times Sym^2, \sigma \times \tau, 2s-1/2)L^S(G_2, \sigma, 2s-1/2)L^S(Sym^2, \tau, 2s-1/2)\zeta^S(2s-1/2)$$

Here G_2 is the standard seven dimensional representation of $G_2(\mathbf{C})$ and Sym^2 is the symmetric square representation of $SL_2(\mathbf{C})$. Also, $\zeta^S(2s-1/2)$ denotes the partial global ζ function. Since σ is generic it follows from [G-R-S5] that σ has a nontrivial lift to a generic cuspidal representation of $Sp_6(\mathbf{A})$ or to a cuspidal representation on $PGL_3(\mathbf{A})$. Using the lifting of generic cuspidal representations from Classical groups to GL_n as proved in [C-K-PS-S], we deduce that the above product of L functions is actually a product of partial L functions associated with tensor product representations on certain GL's. Hence, at $s = 3/4$ these L functions cannot vanish. On the other hand, $\zeta^S(2s-1/2)$ has a simple pole at that point. Hence 3) implies 1).

Finally we prove that 2) implies 3). Before providing the details, let us first explain the idea of the proof. Assuming (32) is nonzero for some choice of data, we need to construct a generic cuspidal representation σ of the group $G_2(\mathbf{A})$ and prove that π is the weak lift of $\sigma \times \tau$. Suppose that there exists a cuspidal representation ϵ of $Sp_6(\mathbf{A})$ such that the integral

$$f^{R,\psi}(h) = \int\limits_{SL_2(F)\backslash SL_2(\mathbf{A})} \int\limits_{V(F)\backslash V(\mathbf{A})} f(vm)\psi_V(v)dvdm \tag{34}$$

is nonzero for some choice of data. Here f is a vector in the space of ϵ and the group $R = V \cdot SL_2$ is defined as follows. Let V denote the unipotent radical of the maximal parabolic subgroup of Sp_6 whose levi part is $GL_2 \times SL_2$. Thus in matrices we have

$$V \cdot SL_2 = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} I_2 & x & y \\ & I_2 & x^* \\ & & I_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} m & & \\ & m & \\ & & m \end{pmatrix} : x \in Mat_{2\times 2}; y \in Mat'_{2\times 2}; m \in SL_2 \right\}$$

Here $Mat'_{2\times 2} = \{y \in Mat_{2\times 2} : y^t J_2 = J_2 y\}$. Also, we define $\psi_V(v) = \psi(trx)$. It is also not hard to prove that if ϵ is such that integral (34) is nonzero for some choice of data, then ϵ must be generic. Assuming all this, it follows from [G-Ja] (see also [G-H] the discussion before and after equation (27)) that ϵ is the weak functorial lift from a cuspidal representation σ on $G_2(\mathbf{A})$.

Let ϵ denote the representation defined by right translations of all functions given by integral (21). As proved in section 5 we know that ϵ is a cuspidal representation of $Sp_6(\mathbf{A})$. Thus if we can prove that $f^{R,\psi}(h)$ is nonzero for some choice of function $f(h)$ as in (21) it will follow from section 5 that π is a weak endoscopic lift from $\epsilon \times \tau$. From the above discussion it will follow that ϵ is a lift from a generic cuspidal representation σ of $G_2(\mathbf{A})$. This will prove that 2) implies 3).

Thus, to conclude the proof of the theorem we will show that if (32) is not zero for some choice of data, then the integral

$$\int\limits_{SL_2(F)\backslash SL_2(\mathbf{A})} \int\limits_{V(F)\backslash V(\mathbf{A})} \int \int\limits_{U(F)\backslash U(\mathbf{A})} \varphi_\pi(g)\widetilde{\theta}_\phi(l(u)(vm,g))\widetilde{\theta}_\tau(u(vm,g))\psi_V(v)dudgdvdm \tag{35}$$

is not zero for some choice of data. Here g is integrated over $SO_{10}(F)\backslash SO_{10}(\mathbf{A})$. Since the inner integrations, over the variables u and g produce a cusp form on $Sp_6(\mathbf{A})$, the integral over $SL_2(F)\backslash SL_2(\mathbf{A})$ converges. At some point we will need to interchange the order of the integration. The justification for this is given in [K-R] Proposition 5.3.1 . In other words, one needs to add the action of the Casimir element for SL_2 inside the Schwartz function inside the theta representation. Doing that, the theta representation becomes rapidly decreasing as a function of the SL_2. Because of [G-R-S4] Theorem 6.9 this will not harm the generality of our argument.

We start by unfolding the theta series. As in section 5 we have

$$\widetilde{\theta}_\phi(l(u)(vm,g)) = \sum_{\eta,\xi} \omega_\psi(l(u)(vm,g))\phi(\eta,\xi) = \sum_{\eta,\xi} \omega_\psi(l(\eta)l(u)(vm,g))\phi(0,\xi).$$

Here $\eta \in Mat_{2\times 10}$ and $\xi \in F^{10}$. Plugging this into (35) we collapse the summation over η with the suitable integration inside U. We then consider the Fourier expansion along the unipotent subgroup of SO_{22} defined by $I_{22} + r_1 e'_{1,3} + r_2 e'_{1,4} + r_2 e'_{2,3} + r_2 e'_{2,4} + r_5 e'_{2,6}$. Here $e'_{i,j} = e_{i,j} - e_{23-j,23-i}$ and $e_{i,j}$ is the matrix which has one at the (i,j) position and zero elsewhere. Once again, collapsing summation and integration, integral (35) equals

$$\int \varphi_\pi(g) \sum_\xi \omega_\psi(l(u_2)(m,g)l(u_1))\phi(0,\xi)\widetilde{\theta}_\tau(u_3 u_2(m,g)u_1)\psi_{U_3}(u_3)d(...) \tag{36}$$

Here, the variables m and g are integrated as in (35). In term of matrices we have

$$u_1 = \begin{pmatrix} I_2 &&&&&& \\ & I_2 &&& z_1 && \\ && I_2 & z_3 & z_2 & z_1^* & \\ &&& I_{10} & z_3^* && \\ &&&& I_2 && \\ &&&&& I_2 & \\ &&&&&& I_2 \end{pmatrix} \quad u_2 = \begin{pmatrix} I_2 &&&&&& \\ & I_2 && y_1 && y_2 & \\ && I_2 &&&& \\ &&& I_{10} && y_1^* & \\ &&&& I_2 && \\ &&&&& I_2 & \\ &&&&&& I_2 \end{pmatrix} \tag{37}$$

Here, the variable z_1 is integrated over $Mat_{2\times 2}(\mathbf{A})$, the variable z_2 is integrated over $Mat^0_{2\times 2}(\mathbf{A})$ (see the definition right after (19)) and z_3 is integrated over $Mat_{2\times 10}(\mathbf{A})$.

The variable y_1 is integrated over $Mat_{2\times 10}(F)\backslash Mat_{2\times 10}(\mathbf{A})$ and y_2 is integrated over $Mat^0_{2\times 2}(F)\backslash Mat^0_{2\times 2}(\mathbf{A})$. The variable u_3 is defined as

$$u_3 = u_3(x_1, x_2, x_3, r_1, r_2, r_3, r_4) = \begin{pmatrix} I_2 & x_1 & x_2 & r_1 & r_2 & r_3 & r_4 \\ & I_2 & x_3 & & & & r_3^* \\ & & I_2 & & & & r_2^* \\ & & & I_{10} & & & r_1^* \\ & & & & I_2 & x_3^* & x_2^* \\ & & & & & I_2 & x_1^* \\ & & & & & & I_2 \end{pmatrix} \tag{38}$$

Here all variables are integrated over $Mat_{a\times b}$, for suitable choice of a and b with points in $F\backslash\mathbf{A}$, except r_4 which is integrated over $Mat^0_{2\times 2}$. Finally, the character ψ_{U_3} is defined as follows. For $r_2 = (r_{i,j})$ and $x_3 = (x_{i,j})$ as described in (38) we set $\psi_{U_3}(u_3) = \psi(r_{1,1} + r_{2,2} + x_{1,1} + x_{2,2})$. Notice that the variable v which appeared inside the Weil representation one equation before (36) does not appear inside ω_ψ in (36). This follows from the fact that $\omega_\psi((v,1))\phi(0,\xi) = \phi(0,\xi)$.

The group $u_3(0, r_4)$ is the one dimensional unipotent group which is the center of the maximal unipotent subgroup of SO_{22}. Let N_2 denote the standard unipotent radical of the maximal parabolic subgroup of SO_{22} whose levi part is $GL_2 \times SO_{18}$. We have

$$\int\limits_{F\backslash\mathbf{A}} \widetilde{\theta}_\tau(u_3(0, r_4))dr_4 = \sum_\alpha \int\limits_{N_2(F)\backslash N_2(\mathbf{A})} \widetilde{\theta}_\tau(n_2)\psi(\alpha\cdot n_2)dn_2$$

where the sum is over all $\alpha \in Mat_{2\times 18}(F)$. The group $SO_{18}(F)$ acts on this expansion. If $\alpha = \begin{pmatrix}\alpha_1 \\ \alpha_2\end{pmatrix}$ where $\alpha_i \in F^{18}$, then the various orbits can be parameterized by the rank of the matrix α and by the length of α_i.

Plugging this expansion into (36) we claim that only one orbit contributes a nonzero term. Indeed, after plugging in (36), we conjugate the matrix $u_3(x_1, x_2, 0, r_1, r_2, r_3, 0)$ from right to left. Because of the character ψ_{U_3} we get zero contribution unless the rank of α is two and both vectors α_i are nonzero and have zero length. From this we deduce that (36) equals

$$\int \varphi_\pi(g) \sum_\xi \omega_\psi(l(u_2)(m,g)l(u_1))\phi(0,\xi) \sum_\gamma \widetilde{\theta}_\tau^{N_2,\psi}(\gamma u_3 u_2(m,g)u_1)\psi_{U_3}(u_3)d(...) \tag{39}$$

Here $\gamma \in P^0_2(SO_{14})(F)\backslash SO_{18}(F)$ where $P^0_2(SO_{14})$ is the subgroup of SO_{18} which consists of all matrices of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} I_2 & * & * \\ & k & * \\ & & I_2 \end{pmatrix} \qquad k \in SO_{14}$$

Also, we denote

$$\widetilde{\theta}_\tau^{N_2,\psi}(k) = \int\limits_{N_2(F)\backslash N_2(\mathbf{A})} \widetilde{\theta}_\tau(n_2 k)\psi_{N_2}(n_2)dn_2 \qquad k \in SO_{22}$$

where ψ_{N_2} is defined as follows. Identifying N_2 with the group of all matrices of the form $n_2 = u_3(x_1, x_2, 0, r_1, r_2, r_3, r_4)$, we set $\psi_{N_2}(n_2) = \psi(trx_1)$.

Next we consider the double coset space $P_2^0(SO_{14})\backslash SO_{18}/P_2(SO_{14})$. Here $P_2(SO_{14})$ is the standard parabolic subgroup of SO_{18} whose levi part is $GL_2 \times SO_{14}$. Thus $P_2(SO_{14})$ contains $P_2^0(SO_{14})$. Considering the various orbits and arguing as above, one can show that only one orbit, which we shall describe bellow, contributes a nonzero term to (39). Let

$$w_1 = \begin{pmatrix} I_2 &&&&&& \\ && I_2 &&&& \\ & I_2 &&&&& \\ &&& I_{10} &&& \\ &&&&& I_2 & \\ &&&& I_2 && \\ &&&&&& I_2 \end{pmatrix} \qquad t(\delta) = \begin{pmatrix} I_2 &&&&&& \\ & I_2 & \delta &&&& \\ && I_2 &&&& \\ &&& I_{10} &&& \\ &&&& I_2 & \delta^* & \\ &&&&& I_2 & \\ &&&&&& I_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

where $\delta \in Mat_{2\times 2}$. Then integral (39) equals

$$\int \varphi_\pi(g) \sum_{\xi} \omega_\psi(l(u_2)(m,g)l(u_1))\phi(0,\xi) \sum_{\gamma,\delta} \widetilde{\theta}_\tau^{N_2,\psi}(w_1 t(\delta)\gamma u_3 u_2(m,g)u_1)\psi_{U_3}(u_3)d(...) \tag{40}$$

Here $\gamma \in P_2^0(SO_{10})(F)\backslash SO_{14}(F)$ where $P_2^0(SO_{10})$ is the subgroup of SO_{14} which consists of all matrices in of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} I_2 & * & * \\ & k & * \\ && I_2 \end{pmatrix} \qquad k \in SO_{10}$$

Also, we have $\delta \in Mat_{2\times 2}(F)$. In (40) we conjugate the matrix $u_3(x_1, 0)$ across $t(\delta)$. We obtain from the commutation relations the matrix $u_3(0, x_1\delta, 0)$. When we conjugate this matrix across w_1 and change variables in N_2, we obtain the integral

$$\int\limits_{(F\backslash \mathbf{A})^4} \psi(tr(x_1\delta))dx_1$$

as inner integration. Clearly this integral is zero unless $\delta = 0$. Thus, if $\delta \neq 0$ in (40) we get zero contribution.

Next we consider the space $P_2^0(SO_{10})\backslash SO_{14}/P_2(SO_{10})$. Here $P_2(SO_{10})$ is the standard parabolic subgroup of SO_{14} whose levi part is $GL_2 \times SO_{10}$. Thus $P_2(SO_{10})$ contains $P_2^0(SO_{10})$. As before one can check that all orbits contribute zero except one. Let

$$w_2 = \begin{pmatrix} I_2 &&&&&& \\ & I_2 &&&&& \\ &&&& I_2 && \\ &&& I_{10} &&& \\ && I_2 &&&& \\ &&&&& I_2 & \\ &&&&&& I_2 \end{pmatrix} \qquad t(\delta,\mu) = \begin{pmatrix} I_2 &&&&&& \\ & I_2 &&&&& \\ && I_2 & \delta & \mu && \\ &&& I_{10} & \delta^* && \\ &&&& I_2 && \\ &&&&& I_2 & \\ &&&&&& I_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

where $\delta \in Mat_{2\times 10}$ and $\mu \in Mat^0_{2\times 2}$. Conjugating by the matrices $u_3(0, x_2, 0, r_1, 0)$ across from left to right, as we did before with $u_3(x_1, 0)$, we obtain that only when $\delta = 0$ and $\mu = 0$ then we get a nonzero contribution. Thus, integral (40) equals

$$\int \varphi_\pi(g) \sum_{\xi} \omega_\psi(l(u_2)(m, g)l(u_1))\phi(0, \xi)\widetilde{\theta}_\tau^{N_2,\psi}(w_1 w_2 u_3(x_3)u_2(m, g)u_1)\psi(trx_3)d(...) \tag{41}$$

where we wrote $u_3(x_3)$ for $u_3(0, 0, x_3, 0)$.

Next, we consider the Fourier expansion

$$\widetilde{\theta}_\tau^{N_2,\psi}(k) = \sum_{\beta\in F} \int_{F\backslash\mathbf{A}} \widetilde{\theta}_\tau^{N_2,\psi}((I_{22} + re'_{3,19})k)\psi(\beta r)dr$$

One can check that if $\beta \neq 0$ then the corresponding summand is zero. Indeed, in this case we obtain as inner integration, Fourier coefficients of $\widetilde{\theta}_\tau$ corresponding to unipotent orbits which are greater or equal to (41^{18}). By section 5 point **b**) it follows that all these Fourier coefficients are zero. Thus we are left with the summand corresponding to $\beta = 0$. This we can further expand along the set

$$\begin{pmatrix} I_2 & & & & \\ & I_2 & x & * & \\ & & I_{12} & x^* & \\ & & & I_2 & \\ & & & & I_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

where $x \in Mat_{2\times 12}$. The group SO_{12} acts on this expansion, and as before we can parameterize the various orbits by matrices $\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 \\ \alpha_2 \end{pmatrix} \in Mat_{2\times 12}(F)$ where the invariants are the rank of α and the length of α_i. Once again we are left with the contribution of one orbit which corresponds to rank two matrices such that both α_i are nonzero vectors of length zero. Thus, integral (41) is equal to

$$\int \varphi_\pi(g) \sum_{\xi} \omega_\psi(l(u_2)(m, g)l(u_1))\phi(0, \xi) \sum_{\gamma} \widetilde{\theta}_\tau^{N_4,\psi}(\gamma w_1 w_2 u_3(x_3)u_2(m, g)u_1)\psi(trx_3)d(...) \tag{42}$$

Here, the group N_4 consists of all unipotent matrices in SO_{22} of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} I_2 & r_1 & * & * & * & * & * \\ & I_2 & r_2 & * & * & * & * \\ & & I_2 & & & * & * \\ & & & I_{10} & & * & * \\ & & & & I_2 & r_2^* & * \\ & & & & & I_2 & r_1^* \\ & & & & & & I_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$\widetilde{\theta}_\tau^{N_4,\psi}(k) = \int_{N_4(F)\backslash N_4(\mathbf{A})} \widetilde{\theta}_\tau(n_4 k)\psi_{N_4}(n_4)dn_4$$

where $\psi_{N_4}(n_4) = \psi(tr(r_1 + r_2))$. Also, we have $\gamma \in P_2^0(SO_{10})(F)\backslash SO_{14}(F)$. Continuing this process of Fourier expansions it follows from the smallness properties of $\widetilde{\theta}_\tau$ that $\widetilde{\theta}_\tau^{N_4,\psi}(k) = \widetilde{\theta}_\tau^{N_6,\psi}(k)$ where

$$\widetilde{\theta}_\tau^{N_6,\psi}(k) = \int\limits_{N_6(F)\backslash N_6(\mathbf{A})} \widetilde{\theta}_\tau(n_6 k)\psi_{N_6}(n_6)dn_6$$

Here N_6 is the unipotent subgroup of SO_{22} which consists of all matrices of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} I_2 & r_1 & * & * & * & * & * \\ & I_2 & r_2 & * & * & * & * \\ & & I_2 & r_3 & * & * & * \\ & & & I_{10} & r_3^* & * & * \\ & & & & I_2 & r_2^* & * \\ & & & & & I_2 & r_1^* \\ & & & & & & I_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

and ψ_{N_6} is the character ψ_{N_4} extended trivially from N_4 to N_6.

As before we consider the space $P_2^0(SO_{10})\backslash SO_{14}/P_2(SO_{10})$. We obtain a nonzero contribution from one term. Thus, integral (42) equals

$$\int \varphi_\pi(g) \sum_\xi \omega_\psi(l(u_2)(m,g)l(u_1))\phi(0,\xi) \sum_{\delta,\mu} \widetilde{\theta}_\tau^{N_6,\psi}(w_2 t(\delta,\mu) w_1 w_2 u_2(m,g)u_1)d(...) \quad (43)$$

Conjugating $w_2 w_1 t(\delta,\mu) w_1 w_2$ we see that as a group, this group of matrices coincides with the group U_2. Thus we may collapse summation with integration. Hence (43) is equal to

$$\int \int\limits_{(U_1U_2)(\mathbf{A})} \varphi_\pi(g) \sum_\xi \omega_\psi((m,g)l(u_2u_1))\phi(0,\xi)\widetilde{\theta}_\tau^{N_6,\psi}(w_2 w_1 w_2 (m,g)u_2u_1)du_1du_2dgdm \quad (44)$$

Here the variables m and g are integrated as before. That is, the variable m is integrated over $SL_2(F)\backslash SL_2(\mathbf{A})$, and g is integrated over $SO_{10}(F)\backslash SO_{10}(\mathbf{A})$.

Notice that $N_6 = UV_6$ where U is the unipotent radical of the parabolic subgroup Q as defined in (19). The group V_6 is the group of all unipotent matrices of the form

$$V_6 = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} I_2 & r_1 & r_2 & & & & \\ & I_2 & r_3 & & & & \\ & & I_2 & & & & \\ & & & I_{10} & & & \\ & & & & I_2 & r_3^* & r_2^* \\ & & & & & I_2 & r_1^* \\ & & & & & & I_2 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$$

To complete the proof of the theorem, we assume that part 2) in the statement of the Theorem holds, and assume that integral (44) is zero for all choice of data. We shall derive a contradiction. First, using arguments similar as to those in [Ga-S] section 7, we may deduce that if indeed (44) is zero for all choice of data, then so is the integral

$$\int\limits_{SL_2(F)\backslash SL_2(\mathbf{A})}\int\limits_{SO_{10}(F)\backslash SO_{10}(\mathbf{A})}\varphi_\pi(g)\sum_{\xi}\omega_\psi((m,g))\phi_2(\xi)\widetilde{\theta}_\tau^{N_6,\psi}(w_2w_1w_2(m,g))dgdm \tag{45}$$

Here we wrote $\phi=\phi_1\otimes\phi_2$ where ϕ_1 is a Schwartz function of $Mat_{2\times 10}(\mathbf{A})$ and ϕ_2 is a Schwartz function of $\mathbf{A}^{10}$. Since $w_2w_1w_2$ normalizes the group of matrices (m,g) as above, we conjugate it to the right and may ignore it.

Next, we claim that $\widetilde{\theta}_\tau^{N_6,\psi}((m,g))=\widetilde{\theta}_\tau^{N_6,\psi}((1,g))$ for all $m\in SL_2(\mathbf{A})$ embedded in $SO_{22}(\mathbf{A})$ as in (45). We will prove it in Lemma 7 below. Assuming that, we deduce that if (45) is zero for all choice of data, then so is the integral

$$\int\limits_{SO_{10}(F)\backslash SO_{10}(\mathbf{A})}\varphi_\pi(g)f(g)\widetilde{\theta}_\tau^{N_6,\psi}((1,g))dg \tag{46}$$

where we denoted

$$f(g)=\int\limits_{SL_2(F)\backslash SL_2(\mathbf{A})}\sum_{\xi}\omega_\psi((m,g))\phi_2(\xi)dm$$

Notice that the inner summation is in fact the theta representation defined on the group $\widetilde{Sp}_{20}(\mathbf{A})$. As explained in the beginning of the proof, after possible adjustments of the Schwartz function, it follows from [G-R-S4] Theorem 6.9, that $f(g)$ is actually the minimal representation of SO_{10} which we denoted by θ. Hence, from (46) and the above discussion, we may assume that the integral

$$\int\limits_{SO_{10}(F)\backslash SO_{10}(\mathbf{A})}\varphi_\pi(g)\theta(g)\widetilde{\theta}_\tau^{N_6,\psi}((1,g))dg \tag{47}$$

is zero for all choice of data. Recall that $N_6=UV_6$. Also the character ψ_{N_6} is trivial on U_6. In Lemma 7 below, we shall prove that

$$\int\limits_{V_6(F)\backslash V_6(\mathbf{A})}E_\tau(vh)\psi_{V_6}(v)dv \tag{48}$$

is nonzero for some choice of data. Here E_τ is the Speh representation as was defined at the beginning of section 5, and by abuse of notations, we view V_6 as a subgroup of GL_6. The character ψ_{V_6} is the restriction of ψ_{N_4} to the group V_6.

As a function of $g\in SO_{10}(\mathbf{A})$, the constant term $\widetilde{\theta}_\tau^U((1,g))$, is realized in the representation space of θ_τ. In other words, in view of the nonvanishing property of (48), it follows that the vanishing for all data of (47) implies that (32) is zero for all choice of data. But this contradicts our assumption. Thus 2) implies 3). This completes the proof of the main theorem. ■

We still need to prove

Lemma 7: *Let E_τ denote the Speh representation on $GL_6(\mathbf{A})$ as defined at the beginning of section 5. Then the integral*

$$\mathcal{F}(h) = \int\limits_{(Mat_{2\times 2}(F)\backslash Mat_{2\times 2}(\mathbf{A}))^3} E_\tau\left(\begin{pmatrix} I_2 & x & z \\ & I_2 & y \\ & & I_2 \end{pmatrix} h\right)\psi(tr(x+y))dxdydz \tag{49}$$

is nonzero for some choice of data. Moreover, if $h = diag(m, m, m)$, where $m \in SL_2(\mathbf{A})$, then the $\mathcal{F}(h) = \mathcal{F}(e)$.

Proof: It follows from [G4] Proposition 5.3 that $\mathcal{O}(E_\tau) = (3^2)$. This means that E_τ has no nonzero Fourier coefficients for any unipotent orbit which is bigger than or not related to (3^2). Let $t(r) = diag(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & r \\ & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & r \\ & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & r \\ & 1 \end{pmatrix})$. We expand $\mathcal{F}(h)$ along the group of matrices $t(r)$ with points in $F\backslash\mathbf{A}$. We have

$$\mathcal{F}(h) = \sum_{\alpha \in F} \int\limits_{F\backslash\mathbf{A}} \mathcal{F}(t(r)h)\psi(\alpha r)dr$$

If α is not zero then the corresponding expansion consists of Fourier coefficients for E_τ which correspond to unipotent orbits which are greater than or not related to (3^2). Hence, if $\alpha \neq 0$, we get zero contribution which means that $\mathcal{F}(t(r)h) = \mathcal{F}(h)$ for all $r \in \mathbf{A}$. Let $\nu = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. Denote $w = diag(\nu, \nu, \nu)$. Then clearly $\mathcal{F}(wh) = \mathcal{F}(h)$. Since w and $t(r)$ generate $SL_2(\mathbf{A})$, the second assertion follows.

As for the first part, we shall assume that $\mathcal{F}(h)$ is zero for all choice of data and derive a contradiction. Let w denote the Weyl element of GL_6 with one at positions $(1, 1); (2, 3); (3, 5); (4, 2); (5, 4); (6, 6)$ and zero elsewhere. Conjugating w from left to right we deduce that the integral

$$\int\limits_{(F\backslash\mathbf{A})^{12}} E_\tau\left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & x_1 & x_2 & & r_1 & r_2 \\ & 1 & x_3 & & & r_3 \\ & & 1 & & & \\ & & & 1 & y_1 & y_2 \\ & & & & 1 & y_2 \\ & & & & & 1 \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & & & \\ & 1 & & & & \\ & & 1 & & & \\ & z_1 & z_2 & 1 & & \\ & & z_3 & & 1 & \\ & & & & & 1 \end{pmatrix}\right)\psi(x_1+x_3+y_1+y_3)d(...) \tag{50}$$

is zero for all choice of data. Expand (50) along the unipotent group consisting of all matrices $I_6 + r_4e_{1,4} + r_5e_{2,5} + r_6e_{3,6}$ where $r_i \in F\backslash\mathbf{A}$. Conjugating by a suitable discrete matrix and collapsing summations with integrations, (50) is equal to

$$\int E_\tau\left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & x_1 & x_2 & r_4 & r_1 & r_2 \\ & 1 & x_3 & & r_5 & r_3 \\ & & 1 & & & r_6 \\ & & & 1 & y_1 & y_2 \\ & & & & 1 & y_2 \\ & & & & & 1 \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & & & \\ & 1 & & & & \\ & & 1 & & & \\ & z_1 & z_2 & 1 & & \\ & & z_3 & & 1 & \\ & & & & & 1 \end{pmatrix}\right)\psi(x_1 + x_3 + y_1 + y_3)d(...) \tag{51}$$

where the variables z_i are integrated over $\mathbf{A}$ and all the rest over $F\backslash\mathbf{A}$. Thus we conclude that integral (51) is zero for all choice of data. As in [Ga-S] section 7, it follows that we may ignore the integration over the z_i variables. That is, the inner integration is zero for all choice of data. Expand the inner integration in (51) along $I_6 + r_7e_{2,4} + r_8e_{3,5}$ where $r_i \in F\backslash\mathbf{A}$. We claim that except the constant term, all other terms contribute zero to the expansion. Indeed, this follows from the fact that each other term produces a Fourier coefficient for E_τ which corresponds to a unipotent orbit which is greater than or not related to (3^2). Next, expanding along $I + r_9e_{3,4}$ with $r_9 \in F\backslash\mathbf{A}$, all terms, except the constant term, contribute zero. Thus we may deduce that the integral

$$\int\limits_{(F\backslash\mathbf{A})^{12}} E_\tau\left(\begin{pmatrix}1 & x_1 & x_2 & r_4 & r_1 & r_2\\ & 1 & x_3 & r_7 & r_5 & r_3\\ & & 1 & r_9 & r_8 & r_6\\ & & & 1 & y_1 & y_2\\ & & & & 1 & y_2\\ & & & & & 1\end{pmatrix}\right)\psi(x_1+x_3+y_1+y_3)d(...) \tag{52}$$

is zero for all choice of data. However, from the definition of the Eisenstein series $E_\tau(g,s)$, see beginning of section 5, it follows that this last integral cannot be zero for all choice of data. Thus we obtained a contradiction. ■

References

[Br] M. Brion, Invariants d'un sous-groupe unipotent maximal d'un groupe semi-simple, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) 33 (1983), 1-27.

[Bu] D. Bump, Lie Groups, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2004.

[C-S] W. Casselman and J. Shalika, The unramified principal series of p-adic groups II: The Whittaker function, Composition Math. 41 (1980), 207-231.

[C-K-PS-S] J. Cogdell, H. Kim, I. Piatetski-Shapiro, F. Shahidi, Functoriality for the Classical Groups, To appear in IHES.

[Ga-S] W.T. Gan and G. Savin, Real and Global Lifts from PGL_3 to G_2, IMRN No. 50 (2003), 2699-2724.

[G-J] S. Gelbart, H. Jacquet, A relation between automorphic representations of GL(2) and GL(3). Ann. Sci. Ecole Norm. Sup. (4) 11 (1978), no. 4, 471–542.

[G1] D. Ginzburg, On Spin L Functions for Orthogonal Groups, Duke Math. J. Vol. 77, No. 3 (1995), 753-798.

[G2] D. Ginzburg, A Construction of CAP Representations for Classical Groups. International Math. Research Notices No. 20 (2003), 1123-1140.

[G3] D. Ginzburg, Work in progress.

[G4] D. Ginzburg, Certain Conjectures Relating Unipotent Orbits to Automorphic Representations, preprint.

[G-H] D. Ginzburg, J. Hundley, Multi-variable Rankin-Selberg Integrals for Orthogonal Groups. International Math. Research Notices 58 (2004), 3097-3121.

[G-Ja] D. Ginzburg, D. Jiang, Periods and Liftings: From G_2 to C_3. Israel J. of Math. 123 (2201), 29-59.

[G-R-S1] D. Ginzburg, S. Rallis, D. Soudry, On Fourier Coefficients of Automorphic Forms of Symplectic Groups Manuscripta Mathematica 111 (2003), 1-16.

[G-R-S2] D. Ginzburg, S. Rallis, D. Soudry, Construction of CAP Representations for Symplectic Groups Using the Descent Method. Preprint.

[G-R-S3] D. Ginzburg, S. Rallis, D. Soudry L-functions for symplectic groups. Bulletin of Societe Math. France, 126 (1998), 181-244.

[G-R-S4] D. Ginzburg, S. Rallis, D. Soudry, On the automorphic theta representation for simply laced groups. Israel Journal of Mathematics 100 (1997), 61-116.

[G-R-S5] D. Ginzburg, S. Rallis, D. Soudry, A tower of theta correspondence for G_2, Duke Mathematical Journal 88 No. 3 (1997), 537-624.

[J] H. Jacquet, On the residual spectrum of $GL(n)$. Lie group representations, II (College Park, Md., 1982/1983), 185–208, Lecture Notes in Math., 1041, Springer, Berlin, 1984.

[K] V. Kac, Some remarks on nilpotent orbits, J. of Algebra 64 (1980), 190-213.

[Kr] C. Krattenthaler, Identities for classical group characters of nearly rectangular shape. J. Algebra 209 (1998), no. 1, 1–64.

[K-R] S. Kudla, S. Rallis, A regularized Siegel-Weil formula: the first term identity. Ann. of Math. (2) 140 (1994), no. 1, 1–80.

[O] S. Okada, Applications of minor summation formulas to rectangular-shaped representations of classical groups. J. Algebra 205 (1998), no. 2, 337–367.

[S] F. Shahidi, On certain L-functions. Amer. J. Math. 103 (1981), no. 2, 297–355.